



X-Ray Analysis

No. X273

ICH Q3D Elemental Impurities Analysis of Tablets by EDX - Verification Based on USP <233> ELEMENTAL IMPURITIES-PROCEDURES -

In the United States, the United States Pharmacopoeia General Test Chapters USP <232> and <233> have been applied to new drug products since January 1, 2018. ICP-MS and ICP-AES are recommended as the analysis procedures in the chapters. However, if the validation requirements are met, the alternative procedure can be substituted for the recommended analysis procedures^{(1) (2) (3)}.

Therefore, the appropriateness of Energy Dispersive X-ray Fluorescence Spectrometer was verified referring to "Limit Procedures" in USP < 233 >^{*1}.

The used instrument was EDX-7000 and the test was conducted by evaluating of elemental impurities in oral drug (tablets). As the target concentration (allowable concentration), the value obtained by dividing 30% of the PDE ^{*2} value by the maximum daily dose was set.

- *1 Since the measurement sample in EDX is "Powder", the "Solution" part described in the test method was replaced with 'powder'
- *2 PDE: Permitted Daily Exposure

T. Nakao, H. Nakamura

Elements

The measurement elements conformed to the method described in ICH Q3D. The seven elements of "Class 1 and Class 2A" are essential for risk assessment. The intentionally added element was assumed to be Pd in "Class 2B".

- Class1 : As, Hg, Pb, Cd
- Class2A : V, Co, Ni
- Class2B : Pd

Samples

Standardization : Cellulose powder spiked with standard solution for ICP

Test sample : as shown in Table 1

Table 1 Sample details under test

Oral solid dosage form (Ethical drug)
30 mg / 0.5 g
Plain tablet
0.5 g
Hydroxypropylcellulose

0.0030 As Hq Ratio 0.0015 0.0015 R=0.9999 R=0.9999 Vea Meä 5.0 15.0 25.0 Standard Value [µg/g] rd Value [µg/g] 0.09 ν .01 0.0040 Co tensity Ratio 0.06 0.0020 0.030 R=0.9998 R=0.9999 60.0 30.0 4 I Value [µg/g] 30.0 15.0 Standard Value [µq/q]

Target Concentration

The target concentration was 30% of the PDE values divided by daily amount of drug product. These values for each element are shown in Table 2.

Table 2 PDE Values and Target Concentrations
of Oral Preparation

Elements	Unit	As	Hg	Pb,Cd	Co	V,Pd	Ni
PDE Values of Oral Preparation	µg/day	15	30	5	50	100	200
Target Concentrations	µg/g	9	18	3	30	60	120

Sample Preparation

Test samples were crushed into powders. Standardization, Standard powders, and Spiked sample powders 1 and 2 were prepared by spiked standard solutions for ICP. These details are shown in Table 3.

Table 3 Samples Used for Verification

Sample Name	Detail	Spiked Concentration	Number Produced (n)
Standardization	Cellulose Powder	0J, 0.5J, 1.5J	Each 1
Standard Powder	Cellulose Powder	1.0J	3
Spiked Sample Powder 1	Test sample	Target Concentration	3, 6
Spiked Sample Powder 2	Test sample	80% of Target Concentration	3
Unspiked Sample Powder	Test sample	Unspiked	3, 6

Sample Pretreatment

Each samples were introduced into a sample container lined with a polypropylene film. These samples are shown in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1 Standard Powder (Left) , Spiked Sample Powder1 (Right)

Calibration Curve

The calibration curve was created with 3 points of 0J, 0.5J and 1.5J. Fig. 2 shows the calibration curve and correlation coefficient (R). The correlation coefficient R is 0.999 or more, and good linearity is obtained.



Fig. 2 Calibration Curve and Correlation Coefficient (R)

Validation Requirements		Procedure Acceptance Criteria				Results			Judgment			
Detectability	(1)	Standard powder n = 3 measured 3 Spiked sample po n = 3 measured 3	r: 9 times each 5 wder 1: 9 times each		The average value of Spiked sample powder1 should be within ± 15% of the average value of Standard powder				【Table 5 Results (1)】			Pass
	(2)	Spiked sample po n = 3 measured 3	Spiked sample powder 2: n = 3 measured 3 times each			Average value of Spiked sample powder 2 < Average value of Standard powder				5 Results	(2)】	Pass
Specificity	- Cor - Spe - Ma elem over	nparison with Unspiked samples ctrum confirmation rix components and coexisting ents: removal and correction of apping effects by them			Specific detection for matrix components and coexisting elements (Meeting detection sensitivity requirements)			[Fig. 3] - Applying overlap correction for [As] for [Pb], and [Co] for [Fe] - Compared with Unspiked sample, each element spectral peak of added sample 1 is clear			Pass	
Repeatability	Me	Measure 6 of Spiked sample powder 1			Relative star	Relative standard deviation (RSD) $\leq 20\%$				Table 6		Pass
Table 5 Detectability [µg/g]									l			
El	emen	its	As	H	g Pb)	Cd	V	Co	Ni	Pd	1
Spiked C	Conce	entration	9.0	18	.0 3.0)	3.0	60	30	120	60	Judgment
(A) Standard P	owde	er 1.0J	9.0	18	.2 3.0)	2.9	58.5	29.9	120.5	59.1	
(B) Unspiked S	ample	e Powder	<0.47	<0.	26 <0.6	53	<1.15	<2.25	<1.17	<0.52	<0.63	Dace

Table 4 Validation Requirements, Acceptance Criteria, and Results

Table 5 Detectability[µg/g]]
Elements	As	Hg	Pb	Cd	V	Co	Ni	Pd	lu demonst
Spiked Concentration	9.0	18.0	3.0	3.0	60	30	120	60	Judgment
(A) Standard Powder 1.0J	9.0	18.2	3.0	2.9	58.5	29.9	120.5	59.1	
(B) Unspiked Sample Powder	<0.47	<0.26	<0.63	<1.15	<2.25	<1.17	<0.52	<0.63	
(C) Spiked Sample Powder 1 (Target Concentration)	9.3	17.8	3.1	2.9	56.7	29.3	117.9	59.5	Pass
Results(1) [(C)/(A) -1] ×100 [%]	+3.6	-2.2	+2.6	0.0	-3.1	-2.0	-2.2	+0.7	
Spiked Concentration	7.2	14.4	2.4	2.4	48	24	96	48	
(D) Spiked Sample Powder 2 (80% of Target Concentration)	7.5	13.9	2.4	2.1	45.9	23.3	95.1	48.2	Pass
Results(2) Relationship of (D)<(A)	<	<	<	<	<	<	<	<	



				[µg/g]					
Elements	As	Hg	Pb	Cd	V	Co	Ni	Pd	Judgment
Average of quantitative values by continuous repeated measurement	9.3	17.5	3.0	2.9	56.1	28.9	119.0	60.4	Dess
Standard Deviation	0.09	0.09	0.06	0.19	0.83	0.22	0.76	0.32	Pass
RSD [%]	0.9	0.5	1.9	6.6	1.5	0.8	0.6	0.5	

Validation Results

Validation requirements, procedure, acceptance criteria, and results are shown in Table 4. Each result's details are shown in Table 5 and 6, and Fig. 3.

Conclusion

EDX-7000 was verified as the alternative procedure referring to "Limit Procedures" in USP <233>. It can be applied to the management of formulations and drug substances with similar compositions.

Along with the recommended ICP-MS and ICP-AES, cost reduction can be expected by using EDX according to the type and dosage of the drug product.

Table 7 Measurement Condition

Instrument	: EDX-7000, Sample Turret (option)
Elements	: As, Hg, Pb, Cd, V, Co, Ni, Pd
Analysis group	: Quantitative
Detector	: SDD
X-ray tube	: Rh target
Tube voltage	: 50 [kV]
Tube current	: Auto [µA]
Collimator	: 10 [mmφ]
Primary filter	: #1 (Cd, Pd), #2(V),
	#4 (As, Hg, Pb, Co, Ni)
Atmosphere	: Air
Integral time	: 1,800 [s] × 3 (#1, #2, #4)
Dead time	: Max.30 [%]

References

- (1) <232> Elemental Impurities-Limits
- (2) <233> Elemental Impurities-Procedures
- (3) Application News No.X271
- ICH Q3D Elemental Impurities Analysis of Durg Substances by EDX (4) ICH HARMONISED GUIDELINE, GUIDELINE FOR ELEMENTAL
 - IMPURITIES Q3D(R1) (Final version Adopted on 22 March 2019)

First Edition: Apr. 2020



Shimadzu Corporation www.shimadzu.com/an/

For Research Use Only. Not for use in diagnostic procedures.

This publication may contain references to products that are not available in your country. Please contact us to check the availability of these products in your country.

The content of this publication shall not be reproduced, altered or sold for any commercial purpose without the written approval of Shimadzu. Shimadzu disclaims any proprietary interest in trademarks and trade names used in this publication other than its own. See http://www.shimadzu.com/about/trademarks/index.html for details.

The information contained herein is provided to you "as is" without warranty of any kind including without limitation warranties as to its accuracy or completeness. Shimadzu does not assume any responsibility or liability for any damage, whether direct or indirect, relating to the use of this publication. This publication is based upon the information available to Shimadzu on or before the date of publication, and subject to change without notice.