

Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectrometer ICPE<sup>™</sup>-9800 Series

# Application News

# Analysis of Elemental Impurities in Lithium-Ion Secondary Battery Electrolytes Using the ICPE-9800 Series

Kosuke Naka

### **User Benefits**

- ◆ The ICPE-9800 Series allows for simultaneous multi-element analysis.
- ◆ It is possible to accurately and precisely analyze elemental impurities in lithium-ion secondary battery electrolytes.
- Lithium-ion secondary battery electrolytes can be injected using a hydrofluoric acid-resistant injection system and an organic solvent torch.

### Introduction

Lithium-ion secondary batteries (LIBs) are widely used in mobile devices, electric vehicles, hybrid cars, and more. Impurities in LIB electrolytes can cause a decrease in battery performance and safety. Therefore, in China, the management of elemental impurities in electrolytes using inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) is required by the HG/T4067-2015<sup>1</sup>) standard.

LIB electrolytes are typically composed of lithium hexafluorophosphate (LiPF<sub>6</sub>) dissolved in an organic solvent, requiring an injection system for organic solvents. However, LiPF<sub>6</sub> can hydrolyze to generate hydrofluoric acid (HF), which poses a risk of corrosion to the glass-based injection systems commonly used in ICP-AES.

In this Application News, the ICPE-9820, a hydrofluoric acid resistant injection system and an organic solvent torch were used to analyze elemental impurities in LIB electrolytes. Spike recovery tests and replicate analysis were performed to confirm the validity and precision of the analysis.

# Samples

Two types of LIB electrolytes were prepared as samples. One is an electrolyte containing 1.0 mol/L LiPF<sub>6</sub> dissolved in ethyl methyl carbonate (EMC) (hereinafter referred to as LiPF<sub>6</sub> in EMC), and the other is an electrolyte containing 1.0 mol/L LiPF<sub>6</sub> dissolved in a mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC) and dimethyl carbonate (DMC) in a ratio of 50:50 (v/v%) (hereinafter referred to as LiPF<sub>6</sub> in EC/DMC).

# Sample Preparation

• Dilution solvent

The dilution solvent was prepared by mixing EMC, ethanol, and pure water in a volume ratio of 1:4:5.

• Unspiked samples of LIB electrolyte

The LiPF<sub>6</sub> in EMC and LiPF<sub>6</sub> in EC/DMC electrolytes were each diluted 10 times with the dilution solvent to prepare unspiked samples.

• Spiked samples of LIB electrolyte

Spiked samples were prepared by adding a mixture of commercially available standard solutions of Al, As, Ca, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Hg, K, Mg, Na, Ni, Pb, and Zn to electrolytes diluted 10 times with the dilution solvent. Spiked concentrations of each element in the solution were 0.1 mg/L (equivalent to 1 mg/kg in the electrolyte).

#### Calibration Standards

Commercially available standard solutions of Al, As, Ca, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Hg, K, Mg, Na, Ni, Pb, and Zn were mixed and added to the dilution solvent to prepare the calibration standards. Lithium carbonate was also added to calibration standards for matrix matching. Li concentration in each calibration standard is 0.1 mol/L. The concentrations of the measured elements in each calibration standard are shown in Table 1. Table 1 Concentrations of Measured Elements in Calibration Standards

Flomonte	Calibration Standards (mg/L)				
Elements	STD1	STD2	STD3	STD4	
Al, As, Ca, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Hg, K, Mg, Na, Ni, Pb, Zn	0	0.1	0.5	1	
Li	0.1 mol/L				

# Instrument Configuration and Analytical Conditions

The nebulizer (Fig. 1-A), chamber (Fig. 1-B), and drain (Fig. 1-C) used in this analysis are made of HF-resistant materials to avoid corrosion by HF, as they have much contact with the samples. For the torch, which has minimal contact with the sample and is less affected by HF corrosion, an organic solvent torch made of quartz (Fig. 1-D) was used. In addition, the standard glass extension pipe was replaced with a quartz one. The system configuration for ICP-AES is shown in Table 2. The analytical conditions are shown in Table 3.



Fig. 1 Hydrofluoric Acid Resistant Injection System and Organic Solvent Torch

Table 2 ICP-AES System Configuration				
Instrument:	ICPE-9820			
Nebulizer:	Nebulizer, PFA1S			
Chamber:	Cyclonic Chamber for Hydrofluoric Acid			
Extension Pipe:	Made of Quartz			
Torch:	Organic Solvent Torch			
Drain:	Hydrofluoric Acid Resistant Drain			
Auto Sampler:	AS-10			

Table 3 Analytical Conditions					
RF Power:	1.40 kW				
Plasma Gas Flowrate:	20.0 L/min				
Auxiliary Gas Flowrate:	0.70 L/min				
Carrier Gas Flowrate:	0.75 L/min				
View Direction:	Axial				

#### Quantitative Analysis

Calibration curves were made using the calibration standards shown in Table 1, and the elemental impurities in the two types of LIB electrolytes were quantitatively analyzed. The quantified results, converted to the concentrations in LIB electrolytes, are shown in Table 4. The detection limits are lower than the regulation values in HG/T4067-2015, demonstrating that the ICPE-9820 has sufficient sensitivity for analyzing impurities in LIB electrolytes.

Flements	Wavelength	Detection	HG/T 4067-2015 Regulation	Quantitative Result (mg/kg)		
Liements	(nm)	(mg/kg)	Value (mg/kg)	LiPF <sub>6</sub> in EMC	LiPF <sub>6</sub> in EC/DMC	
Al	396.153	0.02	1	0.21	N.D.	
As	193.759	0.3	1	N.D.	N.D.	
Ca	396.847	0.003	1	N.D.	N.D.	
Cd	226.502	0.008	1	N.D.	N.D.	
Cr	205.552	0.03	1	0.04	N.D.	
Cu	327.396	0.01	1	0.02	0.01	
Fe	259.940	0.01	1	0.12	N.D.	
Hg	184.950	0.05	1	N.D.	N.D.	
К	766.490	0.02	1	N.D.	N.D.	
Mg	280.270	0.0005	1	0.017	N.D.	
Na	589.592	0.02	2	0.02	0.04	
Ni	231.604	0.03	1	0.09	0.07	
Pb	220.353	0.07	1	N.D.	N.D.	
Zn	213.856	0.009	1	N.D.	N.D.	

Table 4 Quantitative Results in LIB Electrolytes

#### Detection Limit: 3 $\times$ $\sigma$ (standard deviation of STD1) $\times$ slope of calibration curve $\times$ dilution factor (10 times)

N.D.: below the detection limit

#### Spike Recovery Tests and Repeatability

Spike recovery tests for the two types of LIB electrolytes were performed. Additionally, for the spiked samples of LiPF<sub>6</sub> in EMC, 10 repeated measurements were conducted to verify repeatability. The results are shown in Table 5.

Good spike recoveries ranging from 89 % to 107 % for the two types of LIB electrolytes were obtained, confirming the accuracy of the analysis of elemental impurities in LIB electrolytes using the ICPF-9820

In the 10 repeated measurements of the spiked samples of  $LiPF_{6}$ in EMC, 6.9 % or less repeatability was obtained, indicating that elemental impurities at concentrations equivalent to the regulation values can be precisely analyzed.

#### ■ Conclusion

In this Application News, analysis of elemental impurities in LIB electrolytes was performed using the ICPE-9820, a hydrofluoric acid resistant injection system and a torch for organic solvents. Good spike recoveries were obtained, confirming the accuracy of the analysis. Additionally, the repeated measurements demonstrated good analytical precision.

#### References

1) HG/T4067-2015 Cell liquor of lithium hexafluorophosphate https://www.chinesestandard.net/PDF/English.aspx/HGT4067-2015 (February 20th, 2024)

Elements Wavele (nn	) Maria la marth	th Detection Limit (mg/L)	Spike Conc. (mg/L)	LiPF₀ in EMC				LiPF <sub>6</sub> in EC/DMC		
	Wavelength (nm)			Unspiked Sample (mg/L)	Spiked Sample (mg/L)	Spike Recovery (%)	Repeatability (%)	Unspiked Sample (mg/L)	Spiked Sample (mg/L)	Spike Recover (%)
AI	396.153	0.002	0.1	0.021	0.128	107	3.0	N.D.	0.098	98
As	193.759	0.03	0.1	N.D.	0.099	99	6.9	N.D.	0.096	96
Ca	396.847	0.0003	0.1	N.D.	0.0934	93	1.2	N.D.	0.0937	94
Cd	226.502	0.0008	0.1	N.D.	0.100	100	0.9	N.D.	0.103	103
Cr	205.552	0.003	0.1	0.004	0.105	101	1.3	N.D.	0.102	102
Cu	327.396	0.001	0.1	0.002	0.103	101	2.0	0.001	0.101	100
Fe	259.940	0.001	0.1	0.012	0.111	99	1.1	N.D.	0.101	101
Hg	184.950	0.005	0.1	N.D.	0.091	91	3.5	N.D.	0.100	100
к	766.490	0.002	0.1	N.D.	0.095	95	0.8	N.D.	0.095	95
Mg	280.270	0.00005	0.1	0.00172	0.106	104	1.3	N.D.	0.103	103
Na	589.592	0.002	0.1	0.002	0.099	97	1.1	0.004	0.105	101
Ni	231.604	0.003	0.1	0.009	0.109	100	1.2	0.007	0.108	101
Pb	220.353	0.007	0.1	N.D.	0.093	93	3.6	N.D.	0.089	89
Zn	213.856	0.0009	0.1	N.D.	0.094	94	0.9	N.D.	0.095	95

#### Table 5 Spike Recoveries and Repeatability

Detection Limit: 3  $\times$   $\sigma$  (standard deviation of STD1)  $\times$  slope of calibration curve

N.D.: below the detection limit

ICPE is a trademark of Shimadzu Corporation or its affiliated companies in Japan and/or other countries.



#### For Research Use Only. Not for use in diagnostic procedures.

01-00702-EN First Edition: Apr. 2024

This publication may contain references to products that are not available in your country. Please contact us to check the availability of these products in your country. The content of this publication shall not be reproduced, altered or sold for any commercial purpose without the written approval of Shimadzu. See <u>http://www.shimadzu.com/about/trademarks/index.html</u> for details. Third party trademarks and trade names may be used in this publication to refer to either the entities or their products/services, whether or not

Shimadzu Corporation

www.shimadzu.com/an/

they are used with trademark symbol "TM" or "@". Shimadzu disclaims any proprietary interest in trademarks and trade names other than its own The information contained herein is provided to you "as is" without warranty of any kind including without limitation warranties as to its accuracy or completeness. Shimadzu does not assume any responsibility or liability for any damage, whether direct or indirect, relating to the use of this publication. This publication is based upon the information available to Shimadzu on or before the date of publication, and subject

to change without notice.