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## Goal

The purpose of the proposed method is to demonstrate the quantitative performance of the Thermo Scientific ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ TSQ $^{T m} 9610$ triple quadrupole GC-MS/MS system equipped with the Advanced Electron Ionization (AEI) source for the analysis of PAHs and PCBs in a single run.

## Introduction

Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are toxic organic pollutants that can contaminate various food and environmental matrices, including soils, water, sun cream, and salmon. These compounds are produced because of natural and human processes. PCBs and PAHs are resistant to environmental degradation and can be transported over long distances. From the environment, they can enter the food chain where they are persistent and can bio-accumulate (vPvB). ${ }^{1}$ GC-MS, and increasingly GC-MS/MS, are being utilized by analytical testing laboratories to analyze PAHs and PCBs. GC-MS/MS offers an increase in selectivity, allowing matrix interferences to be significantly reduced. This produces more confidence in sample results and allows an increase in productivity.

There are several challenges associated with the analysis of PAHs and PCBs in various matrices. First and foremost, the regulatory limits and requirements must be met consistently. Often separate methods are utilized, which adds to the number of analyses
per sample and reduces sample capacity. Sample preparation is also time consuming and requires a large sample volume to achieve the regulatory limits. One of the major challenges is the separation of the critical isobaric pairs of both PCBs and PAHs. Eliminating the overlap of such isobarics by chromatographic separation can lead to long analysis times, which can reduce the instrument productivity.

In this study, the TSQ 9610 triple quadrupole GC-MS/MS was used for the determination of PAHs and PCBs in water samples. The sample preparation procedure and chromatographic methods were chosen to reduce overall analysis time. Linearity and instrument detection limits (IDLs) were assessed in the experiments for all compounds, as well as an extended robustness study over the reproducibility of the detection of trace levels of PCBs and PAHs.

## Experimental <br> Instrumentation

In the experiments described here, a TSQ 9610 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer equipped with a Thermo Scientific ${ }^{T M}$ NeverVent ${ }^{\text {Tm }}$ Advanced Electron Ionization (AEI) ion source coupled to a Thermo Scientific ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ TRACE $^{\text {TM }} 1610$ gas chromatograph equipped with a Thermo Scientific ${ }^{\text {Tm }}$ AS 1310 autosampler and a Thermo Scientifi ${ }^{\text {Tm }}$ iConnect ${ }^{\text {tm }}$ programmable temperature vaporizing (iConnect-PTV). The TRACE 1610 GC with its instant connect injector and detector modules allows reconfiguration of the instrument to adapt to different workflows in minutes. The NeverVent technology allows for ion source cleaning, filament replacement, and column exchange without breaking instrument vacuum therefore ensuring minimum downtime to the laboratory workflow.

Chromatographic separation was achieved using a Thermo Scientific ${ }^{\text {Tm }}$ TraceGOLD ${ }^{\text {Tm }}$ TG-17SilMS column. The critical pairs of PAHs and PCBs include:

- PCB28 + PCB31
- Anthracene + Phenanthrene
- 2-MethyInaphthalene + 1-MethyInaphthalene
- Benzo[a]fluorene+ Benzo[b]fluorene
- Benzo[a]anthracene + Chrysene
- Benzo[b] + Benzo[k] + Benzo[jjfluoranthene
- Benzo[e] + Benzo[a]pyrene
- Dibenzo $[a, h]$ + Dibenzo[a,j]acridine
- Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene + Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene
- Dibenzo $[a, e]+$ Dibenzo $[a, i]+$ Dibenzo $[a, h]$ pyrene

The column performance was such that the overall injection-to-injection time could be reduced to 24 min without sacrificing chromatographic separation. Table 1 shows the method conditions for the analysis.

## Sample preparation

A conventional and straightforward LLE procedure was used to get the required 500 concentration factor:

5 mL of the extraction solvent (hexane, heptane, or cyclohexane) were added to 250 mL of water sample and mixed together. The extract was evaporated down to $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ and inserted in a 2 mL vial with $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of acetonitrile. After vortexing, the vial was placed on the autosampler tray. Lower phase (acetonitrile) was injected.

## Data acquisition, processing, and reporting

Data were acquired, processed, and reported using the Thermo Scientific $^{\text {Tm }}$ Chromeleon ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Chromatography Data System (CDS) software, Integrated instrument control ensures full automation of the analytical workflow combined with an intuitive user interface for data analysis, customizable reporting, and storage in compliance with the Federal Drug Administration Title 21 Code of Federal Regulations Part 11 (Title 21 CFR Part 11).

Table 1. GC-MS/MS and autosampler experimental conditions for the analysis of PAHs and PCBs

Gas chromatography method

| Autosampler | AS 1610 (Injection technique: cold needle; Sample depth: bottom) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Gas chromatograph | TRACE 1610 GC with PTV injector |
| Liner | PTV Siltek metal liner, $2 \times 2.75 \times 120 \mathrm{~mm}$ <br> (P/N 45322044) |
| Column | TraceGOLD TG-17SilMS, $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ $\times 0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (P/N 26072-1420) |
| Injected solvent | Acetonitrile |
| Injection volume | $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| PTV temperature | Injection: $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.10 \mathrm{~min})$ <br> Transfer: $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{s} \rightarrow 340^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~min})$ Cleaning: $13^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{s} \rightarrow 360^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~min})$, flow $30 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ |
| Carrier gas | $\mathrm{He}, 1.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ |
| GC oven program | $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~min}$, |
|  | $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, |
|  | $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, |
|  | $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $227^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, |
|  | $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, |
|  | $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $316^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.50 \mathrm{~min}$, |
|  | $1.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $319^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, |
|  | $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $328^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, |
|  | $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $340{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10.20 \mathrm{~min}$ |
|  | (Total run time 24 min ) |

## Mass spectrometry method

| Instrument | TSQ 9610 triple quadrupole mass <br> spectrometer |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ion source | AEl source $\left(310^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| Ionization mode | Electron impact @ 35 eV |
| Acquisition type | T-SRM |

## Performance

To determine if the reduced sample volume of water had an effect on instrument sensitivity, standards were injected over a wide concentration range ( $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ to $300 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$.

## Results and discussion

## Chromatography

The method allowed all critical pairs to be separated, achieving the minimum required chromatographic resolution of 0.7. Figure 1 shows the separation of PCB 31 and PCB 28, Figure 2 shows the separation of anthracene and phenanthrene, and Figure 3 shows the separation of benzo[b] + benzo[k] + benzo[j]fluoranthene. Table 2 shows the resolution results for all critical separations.

## Linearity and LOQs

The TSQ 9610 NeverVent AEI is equipped with the Thermo Scientific ${ }^{T M}$ XLXR ${ }^{T M}$ detector, which is an electron multiplier that offers extended detector lifetime and dynamic range. Calibration curves were produced in the range of $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ to $300 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ for the PAHs and PCBs. An appropriate internal standard was used to correct for potentially occurring matrix effects. All curves had a regression coefficient better than 0.990 and an amount deviation at LOQ of less than $35 \%$. Figure 4 shows an example calibration curve for benzo[a]pyrene and the linearity for all compounds is summarized in Table 3.


Figure 1. Separation of PCB 31 and PCB 28 at $200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$


Figure 2. Separation of phenanthrene and anthracene at $200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$


Figure 3. Separation of benzo[b] + benzo[k] + benzo[j]fluoranthene at $200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$

All LOQs were found to be $\leq 0.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$. All compounds at this low level of detection showed consistent peak shape, good chromatographic resolution, and a signal-to-noise ratio well above the LOQ of 10, as reported in Figure 5 for a subset of compounds. Appropriate reproducibility results were observed after several consecutive injections of water sample extracts. To assess the reproducibility at the limit of quantitation, an extract spiked at $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ was injected 10 times (from the same vial) and RSD\% values were calculated using internal standard calibration, demonstrating excellent reproducibility with typical RSDs of less than $25 \%$. Table 4 shows results for all compounds.

Table 2. Chromatographic peak resolution - Calculated at $200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$

| Peak name | Resolution |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 2.7 |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene |  |
| Phenanthrene | 1.3 |
| Anthracene |  |
| PCB31 | 0.8 |
| PCB28 |  |
| Benzo[a]fluorene | 1.4 |
| Benzo[b]fluorene |  |
| Benzo[a]anthracene | 2.3 |
| Chrysene |  |
| Benzo[b]fluoranthene | 0.9 |
| Benzo[k]fluoranthene |  |
| Benzo[k]fluoranthene | 1.4 |
| Benzo[j]fluoranthene |  |
| Benzo[e]pyrene | 1.4 |
| Benzo[a]pyrene |  |
| Dibenzo[a,h]acridine | 0.8 |
| Dibenzo[a,j]acridine |  |
| Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene | 0.9 |
| Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene |  |
| Dibenzo[a,e]pyrene | 3.0 |
| Dibenzo[a,i]pyrene |  |
| Dibenzo[a,i]pyrene | 1.5 |
| Dibenzo[a,h]pyrene |  |



Figure 4. Calibration curve for benzo[a]pyrene. Six levels were used ( $0.4,1,20,100,200,300 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ )










Figure 5. Overlaid SRM (quantifier ion in green, and qualifier ions in blue line) at $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ for a subset of analytes

Table 3. Analytical figures of merit (linearity, LOQ) obtained for all compounds under investigation in this study

| Compound | ISTD | LOQ ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | Calibration type | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | \%Dif.- LOQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Naphthalene | $\mathrm{d}_{8}$-Naphthalene | 0.4 | Lin, WithOffset, 1/A | 0.9998 | 1.9 |
| 2-Methyl-naphthalene | $\mathrm{d}_{8}$-Naphthalene |  |  | 0.9997 | 6.5 |
| 1-Methyl-naphthalene | $\mathrm{d}_{8}$-Naphthalene |  |  | 0.9998 | 7.9 |
| Acenaphthylene | $\mathrm{d}_{10}$-Acenaphthene |  |  | 0.9989 | 5.1 |
| Acenaphthene | $\mathrm{d}_{10}$-Acenaphthene |  |  | 0.9999 | 9.7 |
| Fluorene | $\mathrm{d}_{10}$-Acenaphthene |  |  | 0.9978 | 28.1 |
| PCB18 | $\mathrm{d}_{10}$-Acenaphthene |  |  | 0.9979 | 26.6 |
| Phenanthrene | $\mathrm{d}_{10}$-Phenanthrene |  |  | 0.9997 | 5.2 |
| Anthracene | $\mathrm{d}_{10}$-Phenanthrene |  |  | 0.9981 | 19.2 |
| PCB31 | PCB53 |  |  | 0.9992 | 12.5 |
| PCB28 | PCB53 |  |  | 0.9955 | 17.4 |
| PCB52 | PCB53 |  |  | 0.9995 | 15.1 |
| PCB44 | PCB53 |  |  | 0.9995 | 19.8 |
| PCB101 | PCB53 |  |  | 0.9990 | 21.4 |
| Fluoranthene | $\mathrm{d}_{10}$-Phenanthrene |  |  | 0.9991 | 18.7 |
| PCB149 | PCB53 |  |  | 0.9989 | 13.7 |
| PCB118 | PCB53 |  |  | 0.9983 | 26.6 |
| Pyrene | $\mathrm{d}_{12}$-Chrysene |  |  | 0.9995 | 9.1 |
| PCB153 | PCB53 |  |  | 0.9990 | 23.0 |
| Benzo[a]fluorene | $\mathrm{d}_{12}$-Chrysene |  |  | 0.9963 | -1.7 |
| Benzo[b]fluorene | $\mathrm{d}_{12}$-Chrysene |  |  | 0.9950 | 9.0 |
| PCB138 | $\mathrm{d}_{12}$-Chrysene |  |  | 0.9996 | 1.1 |
| PCB180 | $\mathrm{d}_{12}$-Chrysene |  |  | 0.9996 | 4.0 |
| PCB170 | $\mathrm{d}_{12}$-Chrysene |  |  | 0.9996 | 3.3 |
| Benzo[a]anthracene | $\mathrm{d}_{12}$-Chrysene |  |  | 0.9995 | 12.8 |
| Chrysene | $\mathrm{d}_{12}$-Chrysene |  |  | 0.9997 | 12.0 |
| Benzo[b]fluoranthene | $\mathrm{d}_{12}$-Perylene |  |  | 0.9990 | 3.6 |
| Benzo[k]fluoranthene | $\mathrm{d}_{12}$-Perylene |  |  | 0.9998 | 15.0 |
| Benzo[j]fluoranthene | $\mathrm{d}_{12}$-Perylene |  |  | 0.9990 | 11.5 |
| Benzo[e]pyrene | $\mathrm{d}_{12}$-Perylene |  |  | 0.9997 | 6.8 |
| Benzo[a]pyrene | $\mathrm{d}_{12}$-Perylene |  |  | 0.9995 | 8.5 |
| 3-Methylcholanthrene | $\mathrm{d}_{12}$-Perylene |  |  | 0.9988 | 24.7 |
| Dibenzo[a,h]acridine | $d_{14}$-Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene |  |  | 0.9997 | 5.0 |
| Dibenzo[a,j]acridine | $\mathrm{d}_{14}$-Dibenzo $[a, h]$ anthracene |  |  | 0.9988 | 17.6 |
| Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene | $\mathrm{d}_{14}$-Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene |  |  | 0.9989 | 18.5 |
| Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene | $\mathrm{d}_{14}$-Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene |  |  | 0.9998 | 9.2 |
| Benzo[ghi]perylene | $\mathrm{d}_{14}$-Dibenzo[a, $h$ ]anthracene |  |  | 0.9997 | 3.2 |
| Dibenzo[a,e]pyrene | $\mathrm{d}_{12}$-Coronene |  |  | 0.9988 | 26.9 |
| Dibenzo[a, i]pyrene | $\mathrm{d}_{12}$-Coronene |  |  | 0.9949 | 34.3 |
| Dibenzo[a,h]pyrene | $\mathrm{d}_{12}$-Coronene |  |  | 0.9968 | 34.8 |

Table 4. Reproducibility for 10 replicate injections at $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$

| Compound | RSD (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Naphthalene | 5 |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 3 |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | 3 |
| Acenaphthylene | 11 |
| Acenaphthene | 18 |
| Fluorene | 2 |
| PCB18 | 3 |
| Phenanthrene | 3 |
| Anthracene | 5 |
| PCB31 | 6 |
| PCB28 | 5 |
| PCB52 | 1 |
| PCB44 | 2 |
| PCB101 | 2 |
| Fluoranthene | 5 |
| PCB149 | 5 |
| PCB118 | 4 |
| Pyrene | 3 |
| PCB153 | 3 |
| Benzo[a]fluorene | 23 |


| Compound | RSD (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Benzo[b]fluorene | 21 |
| PCB138 | 3 |
| PCB180 | 1 |
| PCB170 | 6 |
| Benzo[a]anthracene | 9 |
| Chrysene | 10 |
| Benzo[b]fluoranthene | 8 |
| Benzo[k]fluoranthene | 4 |
| Benzo[j]fluoranthene | 4 |
| Benzo[e]pyrene | 4 |
| Benzo[a]pyrene | 8 |
| 3-Methylcholanthrene | 17 |
| Dibenzo[a,h]acridine | 8 |
| Dibenzo[a,j]acridine | 7 |
| Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene | 6 |
| Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene | 4 |
| Benzo[ghi]perylene | 4 |
| Dibenzo[a,e]pyrene | 6 |
| Dibenzo[a,i]pyrene | 6 |
| Dibenzo[a,h]pyrene | 7 |

## Robustness

Robustness was assessed by injecting a QC standard in solvent at a concentration level of $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ (lowest calibration level) after every 10 samples (wastewater and drinking water) over 80 consecutive injections. During this sequence, the calculated
concentration was consistent and the chromatographic resolution excellent. Figure 6 shows the calculated values for a subset of compounds over the 80-sequence injection, whereas Figure 7 shows the consistently excellent peak resolution over the extended run.


Figure 6. Robustness of the calculated amount of a QC at $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ injected at intervals over 80 injections for subset target compounds


Figure 6. Robustness of the calculated amount of a QC at $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ injected at intervals over 80 injections for subset target compounds

## Conclusion

The results obtained in these experiments demonstrate that the TSQ 9610 mass spectrometer equipped with the NeverVent AEI ion source in combination with the TRACE 1610 GC and the AI/AS 1610 liquid autosampler delivers consistent and reliable analytical performance for analysis of PAHs and PCBs in water samples.

- The high selectivity of the TraceGOLD-17SilMS column allowed chromatographic separation of the target analytes in less than 24 minutes. All isobaric compounds were separated.
- The XLXR detector allowed for extended linearity over a concentration range $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ to $300 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ for the PAHs and PCBs with coefficients of determination of $R^{2}>0.99$ and AvCF \% RSDs at first calibration level $<35 \%$.
- The engineered design and improved sensitivity of the NeverVent AEI ion source allows to achieve limits of quantification as low as $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ with consistent results at this level.
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