Determination of Ultra Trace Elements in High Purity Reagents by Automatic Standard Addition Methods Using prepFAST S-ICP-MS/MS.

## Introduction

Ultratrace analysis at the $\mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{g}$ (ppt) or $\mathrm{fg} / \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{ppq})$ level using a highly sensitive instrument such as ICP-MS is susceptible to contamination from the lab environment or reagents.
Given the challenging nature of the (SEMI) publishes standard specifications for semiconductor process chemicals, including $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (SEMI C30-1110-Specifications for Hydrogen Peroxide) [1].
SEMI Grade 5 is the highest purity level, with maximum contamination levels of 10 ppt for most trace elements.
SEMI Standard C30-1110 specifies the maximum concentration of sulfate and phosphate allowed in high purity $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, with a limit of $30,000 \mathrm{ppt}$. This equates to an elemental concentration of sulfur (S) and phosphorus (P) of $10,000 \mathrm{ppt}$.
Currently, ICP-QMS isn't used to measure these two elements, but triple quadrupole ICP-MS (ICP-MS/MS or ICP-QQQ) permits much lower limits of detection for $S$ and $P$.
The development of ICP-MS/MS means all SEMI specified elements can now be monitored using a single technique [2].
In this study, impurities in deionized water (DIW) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ were quantified by automatic Method of Standard Addition (MSA) using a prepFAST S (Elemental Scientific, USA) and Agilent 8900 ICP-MS/MS (Agilent Technologies, USA).
The method allows the quantification of ultra-trace level impurities in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and DIW regardless of the skill level of the analyst.

## Experimental

Reagents and sample
TAMAPURE-AA-10 hydrogen peroxide ( $35 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, Tama Chemicals, Japan) and DIW (Milli-Q water, Molsheim, France) were used as the samples.
Standard stock solution for MSA: a 1000 ppt mixed multi-element standard solution was prepared by diluting a 10 ppm mixed multi-element standard solution (SPEX CertiPrep, $\mathrm{NJ}, \mathrm{US}$ ) with $1 \% \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$.
Standard stock solution for acid spiking (10\%): a $10 \%$ nitric acid solution was prepared by diluting $68 \%$ ultrapure $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$
(TAMAPURE-AA-10) with DIW. $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ was used to stabilize the spiked elements in the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ sample.
The two stock solutions were loaded on the prepFAST S autosampler.
All target concentration solutions required for the analysis were automatically prepared by the prepFAST S system
All preparation and analysis steps were performed in a Class 10,000 clean room
Instrumentation
A standard Agilent 8900 Semiconductor configuration ICP-QQQ instrument was equipped with a PFA coaxial nebulizer that is included with the prepFAST S system. This configuration of ICP-QQQ is fitted with a Peltier cooled quartz spray chamber, quartz torch ( 2.5 mm id), platinum-tipped sampling and skimmer cones, and s-lens.
The 8900 ICP-QQQ was connected to the ESI automated sample preparation and injection system. Instrument operating
conditions are given in Tables 1,2 and 3. And Figure 1 shows an illustration of the prepFAST S

|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Cool} \\ \text { no gas } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{Cool}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{Cool} \\ \mathrm{NH}_{3} \\ \text { soft } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { gas } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | He | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | Soft $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scan type | Single | MS/MS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RF power (W) | 600 |  |  | 1500 |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Depth (mm) | 18 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Carrier gas flow rate (L/min) | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Makeup gas flow rate (L/min) | 0.9 |  |  | 0.48 |  |  |  |  |
| Extract 1 (V) | -150 |  | -100 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 3.5 |
| Extract 2 (V) | -18 | -17 | -12 | -250 |  |  |  | 120 |
| Omega bias (V) | -70 |  |  | -140 |  |  |  | -70 |
| Omega lens (V) | 2.0 |  |  | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.5 | 4.0 |
| Q1 entrance (V) | -15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| He gas flow rate (mL/min) | - |  | 1 | - | - | 5 | - | - |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ gas flow rate ( $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) |  | - |  |  | 7 | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ gas flow rate ( $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) |  | 3 | 3 |  | - |  | - |  |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ gas flow rate ( $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) |  | - | - | - | - |  |  | 4.5 |
| OctP bias (V) | -20 | -1 | 10 | -8 |  |  |  | -3 |
| Axial Acceleration (V) | 0 |  | . 5 |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |
| Energy discrimination (V) | 15 | -5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 3 |  | -7 |

Table 2. Acquisition parameters

| Parameter | Setting |
| :--- | :--- |
| Q2 peak pattern | 1 point |
| Replicates | 3 (spiked solution) |
|  | 10 (unspiked solution) |
| Sweeps/Replicate | 10 |
| Integration time | 1 s for all isotopes |

Table 3. prepFAST S operating conditio ns.

|  | DIW | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Carrier flow rate $(\mu \mathrm{LL} / \mathrm{min})$ | 100 |  |
| Dilution factor | 1 (no-dilution) |  |
| Stock standard conc. (ng/L) | $1000\left(1.0 \% \mathrm{HNO}_{3}\right)$ |  |
| Conc. of $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ used for spike <br> (\%) | - | 10 |
| Conc. of $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ in the sample <br> after acid spiking (\%) | 0 | 0.5 |



Figure 1. prepFAST S system
ESI prepFAST S operation
The prepFAST S combines an autosampler with a system of ultrapure valves (S1-S5), and a set of high precision syringe pumps Undiluted chemicals can be placed on the autosampler and the system will perform the actions required to prepare the sample for injection to the ICP-MS or ICP-QQQ. The operation of the prepFASTS is outlined in the four schematics shown in Figure 2

1. Loading of sample: syringe S 5 loads a precise amount of
sample to the loop. sample to the loop.

2. Sample injection: the prepared sample is introduced into the
ICP-QQQ via S2. S2 provides a precise flow rate regardless of ICP-QQQ via S2. S2 provides a precise flow rate regardless of sample type.

3. Sample dilution and sample spiking: syringes $\mathrm{S} 1, \mathrm{~S} 2, \mathrm{~S} 3$, and S 4 mix the acid, sample, diluent, and spike solution.

4. Valve wash: deionized water (DIW) is used to clean the lines between S1 and S4 valves.



Figure 3. MSA calibration curves.
Table 4. DLs and BECs in DIW and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. Analytes shown in bold are SEMIC30-1110 Grade 5 elements.

|  | Q1 | Q2 | Tune Mode | DIW |  | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | conc. <br> ng/L | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { D.L. } \\ \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{L} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | conc. ng/L | $\begin{gathered} \text { D.L. } \\ \text { ng/L } \end{gathered}$ |
| Li |  | 7 | Cool no gas | < D.L. | 0.003 | < D.L. | 0.025 |
| Be | 9 | 9 | No Gas | < D.L. | 0.096 | < D.L. | 0.089 |
| B | 11 | 11 | No Gas | 1.7 | 0.52 | 22 | 1.9 |
| Na |  | 23 | Cool no gas | 0.35 | 0.077 | 1.1 | 0.11 |
| Mg |  | 24 | Cool no gas | < D.L. | 0.015 | 0.053 | 0.040 |
| Al |  | 27 | Cool no gas | < D.L. | 0.040 | 0.63 | 0.22 |
| Si | 28 | 28 | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 85 | 3.8 | 500 | 26 |
| P | 31 | 47 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 10 | 4.4 | 9.4 | 2.6 |
| S | 32 | 48 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 120 | 2.3 | 220 | 7.5 |
| K | 39 | 39 | Cool $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ soft | 0.13 | 0.049 | 0.45 | 0.19 |
| Ca | 40 | 40 | Cool $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ soft | < D.L. | 0.082 | 0.67 | 0.60 |
| Ti | 48 | 64 | soft $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | < D.L. | 0.042 | < D.L. | 0.24 |
| V | 51 | 67 | soft $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 0.026 | 0.021 | 0.068 | 0.058 |
| Cr | 52 | 52 | $\mathrm{Cool} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | < D.L. | 0.085 | 0.69 | 0.24 |
| Mn | 55 | 55 | $\mathrm{Cool} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | 0.010 | 0.010 | < D.L. | 0.039 |
| Fe | 56 | 56 | Cool $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | 0.076 | 0.070 | < D.L. | 0.29 |
| Co | 59 | 59 | $\mathrm{Cool} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | < D.L. | 0.017 | < D.L. | 0.025 |
| Ni | 60 | 60 | $\mathrm{Cool} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | < D.L. | 0.080 | < D.L. | 0.24 |
| Cu | 63 | 63 | Cool $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | < D.L. | 0.12 | < D.L. | 0.17 |
| Zn | 64 | 64 | He | 0.28 | 0.063 | 0.47 | 0.41 |
| Ga |  | 71 | Cool no gas | < D.L. | 0.011 | < D.L. | 0.032 |
| Ge | 74 | 74 | He | < D.L. | 0.36 | < D.L. | 0.27 |
| As | 75 | 91 | soft $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | < D.L. | 0.072 | < D.L. | 0.15 |
| Se | 78 | 78 | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | < D.L. | 0.20 | < D.L. | 0.40 |
| Rb |  | 85 | Cool no gas | < D.L. | 0.031 | < D.L. | 0.052 |
| Sr | 88 | 88 | He | < D.L. | 0.024 | 0.000* | $0.000^{*}$ |
| Nb | 93 | 93 | He | < D.L. | 0.018 | < D.L. | 0.030 |
| Mo | 98 | 98 | He | < D.L. | 0.093 | < D.L. | 0.065 |
| Ru | 101 | 101 | He | < D.L. | 0.077 | < D.L. | 0.075 |
| Rh | 103 | 103 | soft $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 0.10 | 0.057 | 0.097 | 0.018 |
| Pd | 105 | 105 | No Gas | 0.12 | 0.078 | 0.090 | 0.055 |
| Ag | 107 | 107 | No Gas | 0.14 | 0.099 | < D.L. | 0.031 |
| Cd | 114 | 114 | No Gas | < D.L. | 0.045 | < D.L. | 0.047 |
| In | 115 | 115 | No Gas | < D.L. | 0.009 | < D.L. | 0.022 |
| Sn | 118 | 118 | No Gas | 0.059 | 0.038 | < D.L. | 0.20 |
| Sb | 121 | 121 | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 0.032 | 0.029 | < D.L. | 0.028 |
| Te | 125 | 125 | No Gas | < D.L. | 0.18 | 0.000* | $0.00{ }^{*}$ |
| Cs |  | 133 | Cool no gas | < D.L. | 0.074 | < D.L. | 0.088 |
| Ba | 138 | 138 | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | < D.L. | 0.023 | < D.L. | 0.039 |
| Ta | 181 | 181 | No Gas | 0.041 | 0.024 | 0.28 | 0.12 |
| W | 182 | 182 | No Gas | < D.L. | 0.037 | 0.044 | 0.044 |
| Re | 185 | 185 | No Gas | < D.L. | 0.040 | < D.L. | 0.062 |
| Ir | 193 | 193 | No Gas | < D.L. | 0.023 | < D.L. | 0.040 |
| Pt | 195 | 195 | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 0.33 | 0.28 | 0.39 | 0.088 |
| Au | 197 | 197 | No Gas | < D.L. | 0.051 | < D.L. | 0.22 |
| TI | 205 | 205 | No Gas | 0.082 | 0.036 | < D.L. | 0.015 |
| Pb | 208 | 208 | No Gas | 0.066 | 0.042 | < D.L. | 0.056 |
| Bi | 209 | 209 | No Gas | 0.048 | 0.034 | 0.054 | 0.027 |
| U | 238 | 238 | No Gas | < D.L. | 0.004 | < D.L. | 0.012 |

DLs were calculated as 3 -sigma of 10 replicate measurements of unspiked samples.
*DLs and BECs for Sr and Te could not be calculated, as the background signal mean and SD were 0 counts per second.

## Conclusions

By providing a high degree of automation, the Agilent 8900 ICPQQQ fitted with ESI's prepFAST S autodilution system simplifies the elemental analysis of DIW and $35 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
Once the multielement standards, acid used for spiking, and samples have been loaded into the prepFAST S autosampler, the system performs all required steps including introduction of the sample to the ICP-QQQ
Automating the sample handling steps speeds up the analytica procedure and is easier for the analyst to perform. Eliminating manual tasks during ultratrace analysis lowers the risk of contamination. It also reduces the likelihood of errors arising during the experimental procedure, leading to an increased confidence in the data quality
All the elements specified in SEMI C30-1110, including $P$ and $S$ (and many other elements), were measured at sub-ppt to ppt levels in DIW and high purity $35 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. The results exceeded current SEMI specifications for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$.
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