🔅 eurofins

Eaton Analytical



Quantitative comparison of perfluorinated alkyl substances in drinking water between tandem triple quadrupole MS/MS and high resolution mass spectrometry using orbitrap technology – knowns and unknowns

> Ali Wolfgang Haghani (Eurofins Eaton Analytical) Andy Eaton, PhD, BCES (Eurofins Eaton Analytical) Richard Jack, PhD (Thermo Fisher Scientific) Ed George, PhD (Thermo Fisher Scientific) Charles Yang T., PhD (Thermo Fisher Scientific)

Perfluorinated compounds as emerging contaminants







Rapidly Evolving Science



Google Scholar search of "Perfluoroalkyl" in title

Dr. Richard (Hunter) Anderson AFCEC/CZTE February 2017





2012: Six PFASs added to Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule 3 (UCMR 3) list, including PFOS and PFOA using EPA 537 method.





- A 250-mL preserved water sample with Trizma is fortified with surrogates and passed through a solid phase extraction (SPE) cartridge containing Solex HRPHS in leu of polystyrenedivinylbenzene (SDVB) to extract the method analytes and surrogates. The compounds are eluted from the solid phase with a small amount of methanol. The extract is concentrated to dryness with nitrogenin a heated water bath, and then adjusted to a 1mL volume with 96:4% (vol/vol) methanol:water after adding the IS(s). A 5-µL in leu of 10-µL injection is made into an LC equipped with a C18 column that is interfaced to an
- Q-Exactive hybrid HRAM capable of producing MS/MS data in leu of "low resolution triple" -MS/MS. The analytes are separated and identified by comparing the acquired mass spectra and retention times to reference spectra and retention times for calibration standards acquired under identical LC/MS/MS conditions. The concentration of each analyte is determined by using the internal standard technique. Surrogate analytes are added to all Field and QC Samples to monitor the extraction efficiency of the method analytes.

Hybrid HRAM can be used for EPA LC-MS/MS methods using Parallel Reaction Monitoring (PRM) - Targeted MS2





Parallel reaction monitoring for high resolution and high mass accuracy quantitative, targeted proteomics. Peterson et al., MCP 2012, O112.020131

🛟 eurofins |

Q-Exactive tune page the set up.





General Switch Count 0 Base Tunefile C:\Xcalibur\methods\500UL-EPA-537-TUNE.mstune

CONTACT CLOSURE

🛟 eurofins

EPA 537 – FULL-MS at 70K resolution showing good peak shapes, and S/N for a 2.5ppt standard.





EPA 537M (PRM) – targeted-MS2 for PFOA at the lowest cal std 0.5ppt shown with spectra confirmation using Trace Finder 4.1





By adding Full-Scan to PRM workflow – 0.5ppt PFOA shown





Determination of Minimum Reporting Limit Using LCMRL Using Regretional LOQ Calculation



Next >>

<< Back

	Er	iter les							
Contaminant - 17 alpha-Ethynylestradiol							ND - Calculator on		
Method - EPA 539				WO	works with 32 bit				
Units for all measure	ements - Nanograms/	'Liter (ng/L)		computers.					
Important** Pleas nould have a mini	se do not trunca imum of 3 signi	ite or round an ficant digits.	y of the results	s. If possible, re	esults				
e the buttons below to box next to it and click	add a concentration k the Remove button.	(column) or measur	ed value (row). To re	emove a row or colu	mn check				
Add Concentration	Add <u>M</u> easurement	<u>R</u> emove							
				Conce	ntrations				
	Conc. 1	Conc. 2	Conc. 3	Conc. 4	Conc. 5	Conc. 6	Conc. 7	Conc. 8	
	0.225	0.45	0.9	1.8	3.6	5.4	7.2	0	
Measured Concen	trations								
Value 1	0.184	0.347	0.684	1.361	2.657	4.469	6.07	0.003	
_	0.184	0.35	0.728	1.365	2.819	4.551	5.814	0.000	
Value 2		-							
Value 2	0.202	0.36	0.705	1.363	2.66	4.323	6.046	0.000	

http://water.epa.gov/scitech/drinkingwater/labcert/analyticalmethods_ogwdw.cfm

The LCMRL is defined as the lowest spiking concentration at which recovery of between 50 and 150 percent is expected 99 percent of the time by a single analyst. The procedure requires, at a minimum, four replicates at each of seven fortification levels. Four laboratory reagent blanks should also be included. All must be processed through the entire method procedure

Target & non targeted compounds EPA 537 plus.



PRM					Full Scar	n			
EPA 537 PFCA's, and PFSA'S target list	LC	MRL equ	al or	better t	han high end - m	id range tri	ple		
	quads – background contamination is the limiting fac								
	Critical level	DL	<u></u> с	MRL		Critical level	DL	LCMRL	
PFBS	0.077	0.12	<0.5		PFBS	0.15	0.2	<0.5	
PFDA	0.18	<0.5	<0.5		PFDA	0.15	0.26	<0.5	
PFDoA	0.14	0.29	<0.5		PFDoA		0.47	0	.73
PFHpA		0.35		0.97	PFHpA	0.09	0.15	<0.5	
PFHxA	0.16	0.27	<0.5		PFHxA	0.13	0.19	<0.5	
PFHxS		0.52		0.77	PFHxS		1.7		2.4
PFNA	0.14	0.26	<0.5		PFNA	0.11	0.17	<0.5	
PFOA		0.36		0.5	PFOA		0.22		0.5
PFOS	0.14	0.21	<0.5		PFOS		0.26		0.5
PFTA		0.48		0.71	PFTA	0.15	0.2	<0.5	
PFTrDA	0.18	0.32	<0.5	/	PFTrDA		0.31	0	.55
PFuNA		0.31		0.72	PFuNA		0.38	$\langle \rangle$	1
					_				
Stock standa	ard contai	ned othe	r com	pounds	PFBA		0.19	0	.64
not part of F	PA 537 ta	raet list v	which	Were	PFODA		0.55		1
				PFDS	0.13	0.19	<0.5		
identified and	a quantifie	a using l	ruii-lv	12	PFHxDA		0.12		0.5
L					PFPA	0.18	0.19	<0.5	

🛟 eurofins



Eaton Analytical

13

Samples from different locations can have different branch ratios











SEPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Technical Advisory- Laboratory Analysis of Drinking Water Samples for

Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA) Using EPA Method 537 Rev. 1.1





Office of Water (MS-140)

EPA 815-B-16-021

September 2016

Till around 1970 PFOA was also produced by Electrochemical fluorination (ECF) process creating branched isomers which can still be detected occasionally so it is important to also integrate the branch isomers.

🛟 eurofins

FS and 413/369 compares well in real field samples having branched PFOA - ppt





Including branched isomers when presnt about 20% diff. in a technical grade





A UCMR3 sample shown having a trace hits for non-targeted known compound. PFDS





🛟 eurofins

A 2.5ppt standard of PFOA. Excellent quantitation and sensitivity is obtained with HRAM in comparison to QQQ analysis.





a) SRM Analysis

b) HRAM Full Scan

c) HRAM PRM

Looking for Unknowns : Contaminated GW in a AAAF site:



On-line SPE UHPLC / Fs-ddms2, top 5



Data mining software using "Compound Discoverer"





Refining data to explore known classes of compounds





At higher resolutions more trace isotopic pattern can be used for Ms scans.







201605240376, #1272, RT=6.094 min, FTMS (-), MS2 (HCD, DDF, 498.93@50.00, z=-1)



The structure of selected compound can be drawn in "Custom Explanation" using Mass Frontier to check against MS and MS2 collected data





🔅 eurofins

Summary of all PFSA's found for the studied group.



- 0

Compound Discoverer 2.0.0.303

File Reporting Libraries View Help





🛟 eurofins

Few identified suspects using prescribed workflow which were missing from the built in library. Some need additional confirmations.





Conclusion



- Q-Exactive HRAM instrumentation in the PRM scan mode can be used for quantitation with performance like a triple quadrupole in SRM mode with added specificity, selectivity and comparable sensitivity.
- Full scan HRAM can likely produce more accurate quantitative data for compounds that contain branched isomers such as PFOS.
- Routine quantitative workflows and non-target analysis can be performed in a single analysis.
- HRAM data processing using Thermo Fisher Scientific Compound Discoverer software can simplify complex data reduction and save time.
- Other techniques may be necessary for further confirmation of suspects/unknowns structures such as MSⁿ, 13C and 19F NMR, when standards are not commercially available.



Ali Wolfgang Haghani (Eurofins Eaton Analytical) alihaghani@eurofinsus.com Andy Eaton, PhD, BCES (Eurofins Eaton Analytical) andyeaton@eurofinsus.com

Richard Jack, PhD (Thermo Fisher Scientific) Ed George, PhD (Thermo Fisher Scientific) Charles Yang T., PhD (Thermo Fisher Scientific)