Application Note: 323

Simultaneous Detection of 88 Pesticides on the TSQ Quantum Discovery Using a Novel LC-MS/MS Method

Dipankar Ghosh¹, Lutz Alder², Mark Churchill¹, Wilhelm Gebhardt¹, Eric Genin¹, and Jeanette Klein² ¹Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA;

²Federal Institute for Health Protection of Consumers & Veterinary Medicine, POB 330013, D-14191 Berlin, Germany

Overview

Pesticide residues in food are strictly regulated according to the provisions of US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) CFR Title 40. Several hundred sections in Part 180 detail the maximum pesticide residue (tolerance) for a wide variety of foods. A pesticide's allowable tolerance (measured in ppm) can span several orders of magnitude, depending upon the food source. For example, the tolerance for captan in cattle fat is 0.05 ppm, while 100 ppm of captan is acceptable in lettuce and spinach.

To analyze the large numbers of samples whose pesticide treatment history is usually unknown, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) uses analytical methods capable of simultaneously determining a number of pesticide residues. These cost-effective multi-residue methods (MRMs) can determine about half of the approximately 400 pesticides and their metabolites with EPA tolerances. Most commonly, residues in extracts are separated by GC or HPLC, and then detected using UV absorbance, nitrogen phosphorus detection, or electron capture detection.

Due to its specificity in identifying compounds, LC-MS/MS is emerging as the technique of choice for identifying and quantifying pesticides. The most commonly used MRMs can also detect many metabolites, impurities, and alteration products of pesticides.

Conventional MS/MS methods generally require extensive optimization of operating parameters for each target analyte or even for compounds belonging to the same chemical class, significantly impacting analytical throughput. The objective of this work was to demonstrate the use of the Thermo Scientific TSQ Quantum Discovery in developing an automated, generic, high-throughput LC-MS/MS screening method to simultaneously detect and quantitate nearly 100 pesticides following minimal separation using an HPLC.

Goals

- Develop a multi-residue LC-MS/MS screening method to detect 88 analytes using a single, automated experiment with a short chromatographic time scale
- Demonstrate the utility of using different time segments and scan events
- Illustrate the large linear dynamic range for pesticide analysis in a multi-residue context
- Exhibit the absence of "cross-talk" between co-eluting components

Experimental Conditions

Chemicals and Reagents

Water, methanol, and acetic acid were HPLC grade and purchased from J. T. Baker Chemicals, France.

Samples

Pesticides listed in Table 1 were purchased from Sigma unless otherwise noted. Standards solutions of 0.1, 0.5, 1, 5, 10 and 50 pg/ μ L were prepared in methanol.

Sample Analysis

HPLC analysis was performed on the Thermo Scientific Surveyor[™] HPLC System, using a Thermo Scientific AQUASIL C18 50×2.1 mm column. Mobile phase A was water/methanol 80/20 (v/v) and mobile phase B was methanol/water 90/10 (v/v) – both contained 0.05% acetic acid. Solvent was pumped at 200 µL/min and analytes eluted using a linear gradient of 100% A to 100% B over 11 minutes, holding at 100% B for 12 minutes, then returning to 100% A in 2 minutes.

Mass Spectrometry

Instrument: TSQ Quantum Discovery Source: ESI Ion polarity: Positive Spray voltage: 3.5 kV Sheath/Auxiliary gas: Nitrogen Sheath gas pressure: 50 (arbitrary units) Auxiliary gas pressure: 15 (arbitrary units) Ion transfer capillary temperature: 350 °C Scan type: SRM CID conditions: Ar at 1.5 mTorr



- Discovery[™]
- Cross-talk
- EPA
- LC-MS/MS
- Pesticides







Figure 1a: Simultaneous multicomponent optimization of MS/MS parameters

Multi-residue Optimization

One of the most time consuming parts in the development of a large multicomponent assay is the optimization of MS/MS parameters for each analyte. The TSQ Quantum Discovery allows multicomponent optimization of MS/MS parameters to be carried out automatically, thus allowing for faster method development. Up to eight SRM transitions can be optimized simultaneously, either from a single parent component or from multiple components. In effect, this means the ability to carry out the optimization procedure 11 times for 88 pesticides (instead of 88 times if they were carried out singly), thus saving a significant amount of time in method development. An example of this is given in Figure 1a, displaying the simultaneous optimization of eight SRM transitions from four pesticides. The structures of these compounds are shown in Figure 1b.

MS Instrument Method

To accommodate such a large number of components over a short time range, the acquisition time was divided into two segments, each containing three scan events. Allowing for analyte overlap between the time segments, a total of 59 SRM transitions were performed in segment one and 56 SRM transitions in segment two, with dwell times of 20 ms for each transition. A graphical representation of the actual instrument method is shown in Figure 2.

Figure 1b: Structures of the four pesticides used to generate the optimization graph of Figure 1a



Figure 2: Splitting the acquisition time into two time segments and three scan events improves instrument performance for complex screening analyses

Results and Discussion

Figure 3a shows the LC-MS/MS chromatogram generated from the pesticide mix eluting over a chromatographic time scale of 16 minutes. The complexity of the chromato-

gram can be seen by expanding the area from 8 to 11 minutes (Figure 3b), where several different pesticides can typically be observed to co-elute.



Figure 3a: LC-MS/MS chromatogram of 88 pesticides at 50 $pg/\mu L$



Figure 3b: Detection of minor components under the larger peaks

All compounds were mixed at the same concentration and the SRM method allows even those with low responses to be detected under other analytes. A summary of the results for these pesticides at 50 pg/µL is tabulated in Table 1. As is clearly evident, excellent linearity was observed with the coefficient of correlations of most components varying from 0.9900 to 0.9998.

> Table 1: Results of pesticide analysis at 50 pg/µL

| Component Name | RT | Area | Specified Amount | Calculated Amount | Equation |
|----------------------------|---------------|----------|---------------------|----------------------|---|
| Daminozoid | 1.04 | 4674516 | 50.000 | 48.743 | $Y = 56652 + 94739.2 \times R^2 = 0.9988$ |
| Methamidophos | 1.27 | 3829646 | 50.000 | 49.984 | $Y = -27105.9 + 77160.3 \times R^2 = 0.9992$ |
| Acephate | 1.37 | 2535282 | 50.000 | 47.557 | $Y = 51553.4 + 52226.8 \times R^2 = 0.9955$ |
| Omethoat | 1.87 | 1516561 | 50.000 | 51.212 | $Y = -20184.5 + 30007.4 \times R^2 = 0.9985$ |
| Propamocarb | 2.13 | 4988264 | 50.000 | 49.355 | $Y = -31459.9 + 101707 \times R^2 = 0.9997$ |
| Aldicarb-sulfoxid | 2.45 | 15089 | 50.000 | 90.324 | $Y = 1383.55 + 151.735 \times R^2 = 0.4642$ |
| Butocarboxim-suiloxid | 2.45 | 92079 | 50.000 | 59.539 | $f = -3384.2 + 1030.37 \times R^2 = 0.3372$ V = 761.95 + 401.902 × $R^2 = 0.9206$ |
| Aldoxycarb | 2.02 | 210393 | 50.000 | 49 163 | $Y = -701.03 + 431.032 \times R^2 = 0.0230$ $Y = -5547.18 + 4392.29 \times R^2 = 0.9977$ |
| Pymetrozin | 2.48 | 6401121 | 50.000 | 50.687 | $Y = -40420.7 + 127084 \times R^2 = 0.9995$ |
| Carbendazim | 3.63 | 67750507 | 50.000 | 49.534 | $Y = -61584.2 + 1.369e + 006 \times R^2 = 0.9999$ |
| Methomyl | 3.56 | 298259 | 50.000 | 57.081 | $Y = 9692.33 + 5055.42 \times R^2 = 0.9934$ |
| Demeton-S-methyl-sulfon | 3.71 | 3006988 | 50.000 | 49.419 | $Y = -13010.2 + 61109.5 \times R^2 = 0.9995$ |
| Oxidemeton-methyl | | | 50.000 | N/F | $Y = -38265.8 + 97760.8 \times R^2 = 0.9989$ |
| Monocrotophos | 4.17 | 1366861 | 50.000 | 52.403 | $Y = -16543.9 + 26399.4 \times R^2 = 0.9964$ |
| Ethiotencarb-suiton | 4.30 | 2//010 | 50.000 | 48.780 | $Y = -1/60.21 + 5/14.23 \times R^2 = 0.9983$ |
| Ethiofencarh-sulfoxid | 4.37 | 1110901 | 50.000 | 48 253 | $Y = -24680.6 + 23533.9 \times R^2 = 0.9964$ |
| Thiabenzadol | 5.17 | 24648309 | 50.000 | 49.962 | $Y = -58379.8 + 494513 \times R^2 = 0.9999$ |
| Dimethoat | 5.28 | 3611384 | 50.000 | 50.512 | $Y = -18998 + 71871.1 \times R^2 = 0.9997$ |
| Vamidothion | 5.40 | 472217 | 50.000 | 51.537 | $Y = -5505.94 + 9269.53 \times R^2 = 0.9982$ |
| Imidacloparid | 5.59 | 1506719 | 50.000 | 52.085 | $Y = -9529.36 + 29111.3 \times R^2 = 0.9969$ |
| Metamitron | 5.52 | 2252454 | 50.000 | 49.143 | $Y = 1941.62 + 45795.4 \times R^2 = 0.9993$ |
| Quinmerac | 5.58 | 7922156 | 50.000 | 50.826 | $Y = -21139.4 + 156284 \times R^2 = 0.9995$ |
| Clethodim-imin-sulfon | 5.67 | 2327412 | 50.000 | 48.892 | $Y = -1205.9 + 4/627.9 \times R^2 = 0.9992$ |
| Clethodim imin outfouid | 5./3 | 5154570 | 50.000 | 50.280 | $T = -50037 + 418055 \times K^2 = 0.9999$ $V = 4532 14 \pm 103729 \times E^2 = 0.0009$ |
| Butocarboxim | 6.41 | 3903019 | 50.000 | 48 852 | $Y = -2919.87 + 79954 2 \times R^2 = 0.9992$ |
| Aldicarb | 6.50 | 2151719 | 50,000 | 49.942 | $Y = 11575.3 + 42852.7 \times R^2 = 0.9998$ |
| PyridateXX | 7.02 | 8244302 | 50.000 | 49.736 | $Y = -6792.58 + 165899 \times R^2 = 0.9999$ |
| Thiacloprid | 7.20 | 5497747 | 50.000 | 50.557 | $Y = -37790.7 + 109491 \times R^2 = 0.9997$ |
| Propoxur | 7.21 | 2762590 | 50.000 | 49.906 | $Y = -7780.97 + 55512 \times R^2 = 0.9996$ |
| Thiophanat-methyl | 7.53 | 1420464 | 50.000 | 50.642 | $Y = 731.145 + 28034.9 \times R^2 = 0.9989$ |
| Bendiocarb | 7.44 | 917258 | 50.000 | 49.829 | $Y = -4657.68 + 18501.5 \times R^2 = 0.9991$ |
| Carbofuran | 7.44 | 19195703 | 50.000 | 49.441 | $Y = -84755.6 + 389971 \times R^2 = 0.9996$ |
| Unosulfuron | 7.58 | /944/3 | 50.000 | 47.468 | $Y = -5092.19 + 16844.4 \times R^2 = 0.9956$ |
| 5-hydroxy-clethodim-sulfon | 8.13 | 356038 | 50.000 | 40.334 | $Y = -1043.1 \pm 3101.13 \times R^2 = 0.3373$ $Y = -1197.11 \pm 7170.92 \times R^2 = 0.9995$ |
| Ethiofencarb | 8.09 | 1655866 | 50.000 | 51.049 | $Y = -2896.19 + 32493.3 \times B^2 = 0.9989$ |
| Metsulfuron-methyl | 8.10 | 534961 | 50.000 | 52.066 | $Y = -8787.37 + 10443.5 \times R^2 = 0.9964$ |
| Nicosulfuron | 8.18 | 55407 | 50.000 | 43.245 | $Y = -7332.14 + 1450.79 \times R^2 = 0.9559$ |
| Carbaryl | 8.21 | 665994 | 50.000 | 53.046 | $Y = -8256.25 + 12710.6 \times R^2 = 0.9937$ |
| Chlorosulfuron | 8.45 | 490049 | 50.000 | 49.618 | $Y = -7636.28 + 10030.3 \times R^2 = 0.9977$ |
| Isoxaflutole | 8.63 | 2483727 | 50.000 | 50.356 | $Y = -30166.7 + 49922.5 \times R^2 = 0.9996$ |
| Amidosulturon | 8.58 | 44104 | 50.000 | 51.395 | $Y = 1474.67 + 829.433 \times R^2 = 0.9605$ |
| Imazali | 8.6/ | 2/00011 | 50.000 | 51 281 | $Y = -19113 + 55207.1 \times R^2 = 0.9958$ $V = -146813 + 142726 \times R^2 = 0.9951$ |
| Atrazin | 8.84 | 22304226 | 50.000 | 49.756 | $Y = -79337.7 + 449862 \times R^2 = 0.9998$ |
| 3,4,5-Trimehacarb | 9.08 | 5876561 | 50.000 | 49.047 | $Y = -31771.1 + 120463 \times R^2 = 0.9994$ |
| Clethodim-sulfon | 9.14 | 606123 | 50.000 | 47.974 | $Y = -6241.14 + 12764.5 \times R^2 = 0.9963$ |
| Desmedipham | 9.42 | 1513363 | 50.000 | 48.104 | $Y = -38755.8 + 32266.2 \times R^2 = 0.9944$ |
| Phenmedipham | 9.43 | 1349750 | 50.000 | 49.328 | $Y = -31936.5 + 28010.3 \times R^2 = 0.9982$ |
| Pyrimethanil | 9.13 | 11739201 | 50.000 | 49.761 | $Y = -72893 + 237379X \times R^2 = 0.9996$ |
| Econoronimorph | 9.22 | //08043 | 50.000 | 50.309 | $Y = -30307.8 + 133101 \times R^2 = 0.9990$ $V = -1.599540 + 0.06+950259 \times R^2 = 0.9977$ |
| Thiodicarb | 9.39 | 131141 | 50.000 | 46 936 | $Y = -1721.31 + 2830.73 \times B^2 = 0.9925$ |
| Flazasulfuron | 9.65 | 275363 | 50.000 | 51.509 | $Y = -8454.51 + 5510.05 \times R^2 = 0.9964$ |
| Bensulfuron-methyl | 9.57 | 337368 | 50.000 | 44.644 | $Y = -60.8872 + 7558.17 \times R^2 = 0.9836$ |
| Clethodim-sulfoxid | 9.60 | 673868 | 50.000 | 47.765 | $Y = -9675.27 + 14310.6 \times R^2 = 0.9951$ |
| Diuron | 9.75 | 2269026 | 50.000 | 50.361 | $Y = -9647.22 + 45246.5 \times R^2 = 0.9996$ |
| Prosulfuron | 9.93 | 166599 | 50.000 | 42.400 | $Y = -2469.03 + 3987.42 \times R^2 = 0.9581$ |
| Azoxystrobin | 9.85 | 5924744 | 50.000 | 50.076 | $Y = -109126 + 120494 \times R^2 = 0.9973$ |
| Promecarb | 9.95 Q Q F | 1530917 | 50.000 | 49.001 51.120 | $Y = -201342 + 303415 \times R^2 = 0.0000$ |
| Iprovalicarb | 10.09 | 2190910 | 50.000 | 50.988 | $Y = -17552.3 + 43313.4 \times R^2 = 0.9994$ |
| Fenhaxamid | 10.25 | 2163320 | 50.000 | 51.113 | $Y = -21459.1 + 42744.1 \times R^2 = 0.9990$ |
| Linuron | 10.26 | 1668513 | 50.000 | 48.797 | $Y = -26949.6 + 34745.1 \times R^2 = 0.9980$ |
| Triflusulfuron-methyl | 10.19 | 5971 | 50.000 | 54.571 | $Y = 2451.06 + 64.4984 \times R^2 = 0.6233$ |
| Cyprodinil | 10.45 | 14661158 | 50.000 | 50.662 | $Y = -222152 + 293778 \times R^2 = 0.9982$ |
| Spiroxamine | 10.39 | 75041736 | 50.000 | 50.560 | $Y = -2.9315e + 006 + 1.54218e + 006 \times R^2 = 0.9880$ |
| Metolachlor | 10.64 | 15042434 | 50.000 | 49.975 | $Y = -148631 + 303973 \times R^2 = 0.9992$ |
| lebutenzoid | 10.83 | 1226295 | 50.000 | 55.610 | $\mathbf{r} = -14352.5 + 22309.7 \times R^2 = 0.9985$ $\mathbf{V} = 110983 = 58.501 \times r^2 = 0.0059$ |
| Fenoxycarb | 11.93 | 409691 | 50.000 | 49 579 | $Y = -276612 + 882135 \times R^2 = 0.0056$ |
| Fentin-hydroxide | 11.02 | 4603640 | 50.000 | 50.016 | $Y = -89525.3 + 93833.1 \times R^2 = 0.9963$ |
| Diflubenzuron | 11.30 | 700297 | 50.000 | 49.001 | $Y = -7945.49 + 14453.7 \times R^2 = 0.9879$ |
| Tebuconazol | 11.38 | 7987902 | 50.000 | 49.908 | $Y = -170977 + 163478 \times R^2 = 0.9964$ |
| Rimsulfuron | | | 50.000 | N/F | N/A |
| Haloxyfop-methyl | 11.83 | 8823982 | 50.000 | 48.905 | $Y = -344151 + 187469 \times R^2 = 0.9885$ |
| Indoxacarb | 11.88 | 370334 | 50.000 | 43.918 | $Y = -28514.8 + 9081.59 \times R^2 = 0.9678$ |
| Initiumuron | 11.81 | 1448162 | 50.000 | 50.860 | $\mathbf{T} = -12312.4 + 29896.2 \times R^2 = 0.9902$ $\mathbf{V} = -5040.92 + 14409.7 \times R^2 = 0.0001$ |
| Fluzifon-P-hutul | 12.10 | 8028262 | 50.000 | 51 498 | $Y = -365417 + 162992 \times R^2 = 0.0006$ |
| Haloxyfop-ethoxyethyl | 12.27 | 3551906 | 50.000 | 52.226 | $Y = -131575 + 70529.2 \times R^2 = 0.9887$ |
| Flurathiocarb | 12.44 | 1424774 | 50.000 | 48.972 | $Y = -65299.8 + 30427.4 \times R^2 = 0.9828$ |
| Quizalofop-ethyl | 12.52 | 9664875 | 50.000 | 51.959 | $Y = -380173 + 193325 \times R^2 = 0.9886$ |
| Flufenoxuron | 13.73 | 40186 | 50.000 | 44.371 | $Y = -10159.7 + 1134.66 \times R^2 = 0.9607$ |
| Pyridate | 15.28 | 66084 | 50.000 | 40.512 | $Y = -18878.2 + 2097.21 \times R^2 = 0.8556$ |



Figure 4. Linearity of response for the five components: metamitron, clethodim-sulfoxide, isoxaflutole, iprovalicarb, methiocarb

Linearity

Peak areas were used for quantitation and the resultant linearity of responses are plotted in Figure 4 for five different compounds. Although no internal standard was used during the assay, excellent correlation coefficient values were observed between 0.9990 and 0.9998 for the five components metamitron, clethodimin-sulfoxide, isoxaflutole, iprovalicarb, and methiocarb.

Absence of Cross-talk

High-throughput characterization of very complex mixtures requires rapid analysis of coeluting analytes. An effective way to accomplish this is by reducing the dwell and interscan times. However, cross-talk can occur in triple quadrupole instruments when short scan times are employed because the fragment ions from one SRM transition are often scanned out during another transition. This is due to some fragment ions from one transition still residing in the collision cell when the next transition starts, resulting in signal artifacts. However, the patented design of the orthogonal collision cell of the TSQ Quantum Discovery virtually eliminates cross-talk. This was demonstrated during the pesticide assay by monitoring the SRM transitions of three components: triasulfuron, metasulfuron-methyl and chlorosulfuron. These compounds have different precursor ions but all generate a product ion at m/z 167 (see Table 2). The chromatograms in Figure 5 show the transitions for these compounds, with no evidence of any cross-talk.

| Pesticide | Retention time (min) | SRM transition (<i>m/z</i>) |
|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Triasulfuron | 7.62 | 402 > 167 |
| Metasulfuron-methyl | 8.10 | 382 > 167 |
| Chlorosulfuron | 8.45 | 358 > 167 |

Table 2: Characteristics of the three pesticides – triasulfuron, metasulfuron-methyl, and chlorosulfuron – used to demonstrate zero cross-talk on the TSQ Quantum Discovery

Conclusions

An LC-MS/MS screening assay to monitor 88 pesticides using minimal LC separation was developed using the TSQ Quantum Discovery. It was possible to detect all components within a chromatographic time scale of 16 minutes by performing SRM transitions during two userdetermined time segments. Even with dwell times of only 20 ms, no cross-talk interference was observed during the analysis.

Typical food monitoring applications require screening for tens to hundreds of pesticides. Although conventional detection is accomplished using UV absorbance, nitrogen phosphorus, or electron capture detection, LC-MS/MS provides superior sensitivity, and more importantly, specificity of identification as compared with these other commonly used techniques. The LC-MS/MS-based method described here, with its speed, sensitivity, and specificity, is highly applicable to both the environmental monitoring and agrochemical industries operating within EPA and FDA criteria.

CD-ROM

The data generated for this application note, along with the instrument and processing methods, are available on a CD-ROM from Thermo Fisher Scientific at www.thermo.com/quantum.

References

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency website at www.epa.gov: US Environmental Protection Agency Code of Federal Regulations 40. Chapter I, Subchapter E, Part 180 details the tolerances and exemptions from tolerances for pesticide chemicals in food.

Pesticide Analytical Manual Volume 1, Sections 605-606 (describes MS applications and benefits). Transmittal No. 94-1 (1/94), Form FDA 2905a (6/92). Available on the FDA website at www.cfsan.fda.gov. Chapter 3 describes multi-class multi-residue methods, while Chapter 4 provides selective multiresidue methods.



Figure 5: No cross-talk was observed when the pesticides triasulfuron, metsulfuron-methyl, and chlorosulfuron were detected

In addition to these offices, Thermo Fisher Scientific maintains a network of representative organizations throughout the world.

Africa +43 1 333 5034 127 Australia +61 2 8844 9500 Austria Belgium +32 2 482 30 30 **Canada** +1 800 530 8447 China Denmark **Europe-Other** +43 1 333 5034 127 France +33 1 60 92 48 00 **Germany** +49 6103 408 1014 India +91 22 6742 9434 Italy +39 02 950 591 Japan +81 45 453 9100 Latin America +1 608 276 5659 **Middle East Netherlands South Africa** Spain +34 914 845 965 Sweden/Norway/ Finland +46 8 556 468 00 Switzerland UK +<u>44 1442 2</u>33555 USA +1 800 532 4752

Legal Notices

©2007 Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. All rights reserved. All trademarks are the property of Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. and its subsidiaries. This information is presented as an example of the capabilities of Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. products. It is not intended to encourage use of these products in any manners that might infringe the intellectual property rights of others. Specifications, terms and pricing are subject to change. Not all products are available in all countries. Please consult your local sales representative for details.

View additional Thermo Scientific LC/MS application notes at: www.thermo.com/appnotes



Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA USA is ISO Certified.

www.thermo.com

AN62488_E 11/075

