

Characterizing Low Abundance Monoclonal Antibody PTMs Using UNIFI Scientific Information System Peptide Mapping DDA Workflow

Jing Fang, Ying Qing Yu, and Weibin Chen
Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA

APPLICATION BENEFITS

Utilizing peptide mapping DDA workflow in UNIFI Scientific Information System with the Vion IMS QToF MS to improve MS/MS data quality for low abundant peptides from mAbs.

WATERS SOLUTIONS

[Vion® IMS QToF](#)

[ACQUITY® UPLC® I-Class System](#)

[UNIFI® Scientific Information System](#)

NIST mAb RM8671

KEYWORDS

Vion IMS QToF, data dependent acquisition (DDA), peptide mapping, NIST monoclonal antibody reference material (NIST mAbs RM), post translational modifications (PTMs), glycation, UNIFI, dynamic exclusion

INTRODUCTION

Data independent acquisition (DIA or MS^E) and data dependent acquisition (DDA) are two widely used methodologies in biopharmaceutical laboratories for protein characterization. Waters® QToF mass spectrometers and informatics solutions support both acquisition modes. In MS^E method, mass spectrometers alternate scans equally between low and high collision energy (CE) to produce both precursor (low CE) and product ions (high CE) information. MS^E benefits from Waters proprietary peak list generator (PLG) algorithm by aligning the retention time of a precursor and its fragment ions to generate both precursors and their corresponding product ion data,¹ thus producing fragmentation data for all precursors under exact mass conditions without prior knowledge of the precursor ions. Because of the unique features in data acquisition and data processing, MS^E is straightforward in method setup and provides both qualitative and quantitative information. In practice, MS^E is typically used for routine peptide mapping experiments to accomplish the tasks of sequence confirmation and modification identification, and modification percentage calculation of PTMs (post-translational modifications). On the other hand, DDA, with the proper experimental set up, can be used as a complementary tool to obtain improved fragmentation data for low abundance peptides with PTMs to localize the modification site(s). This is especially beneficial for co-eluting peptides, which normally yield chimeric elevated energy mass spectra without precursor isolation. The main challenge is that the DDA method setup is not as straightforward as MS^E. In this study, we investigate glycation of the NIST reference mAb as an example to demonstrate DDA method optimization for low level PTMs and highlight the advantage of DDA in obtaining more site-specific fragmentation and/or PTM localization.

EXPERIMENTAL

Sample preparation

NIST monoclonal antibody reference material (mAb) 8671 was diluted to 1 mg/mL in a denaturation buffer (7 M guanidine chloride, 0.2 M Tris, pH 7.5). Then, the diluted sample was reduced with 0.5 M DTT at 37 °C for 30 min and alkylated with 0.5 M iodoacetamide at room temperature for 20 min. Buffer exchange (to 0.1 M Tris, pH 7.5) of NIST mAb sample was performed using a NAP-5 column (GE Healthcare) prior to a fast tryptic digestion (30 min at 37 °C). The digested samples were stocked at -80 °C before LC-MS analysis. A total of 3 µg of tryptic digests were loaded onto ACQUITY UPLC BEH C₁₈ Column for each injection for detecting the low abundance glycosylated peptides.

LC-MS conditions

LC system:	ACQUITY UPLC I-Class
Analytical column:	ACQUITY UPLC BEH C ₁₈ 2.1 × 150 mm, 1.7 µm (P/N: 186003556)
Column temp.:	65 °C
Mobile phase A:	H ₂ O with 0.1% formic acid
Mobile phase B:	Acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid
LC gradient:	1–40% B in 150 min
Acquisition mode:	DDA

MS conditions

MS system:	Vion IMS QTof (Driver 2.0)
Capillary voltage:	2.5 kV
Sampling cone:	40 V
Source offset:	80 V
Source temp.:	100 °C
Desolvation temp.:	250 °C
Cone gas flow:	50 L/h
Desolvation gas flow:	600 L/h
Reference mass:	Leucine enkephaline [M+H] ⁺ <i>m/z</i> 556.27658

Survey DDA scan

MS to MS/MS	
Acquisition range:	100–2000 <i>m/z</i>
MS scan time:	0.4 s
MS/MS scan time:	0.3 s
Collision energy:	6 eV

Data processing

UNIFI v1.8 SR 2 peptide mapping workflow

Method development of DDA in UNIFI

The detailed DDA method setup in the UNIFI Scientific Information System is demonstrated in Figure 1. A DDA experiment consists of many user-defined MS and MS/MS parameters in tabulation, as illustrated in the following screen captures. The details and the significance of key parameters and their effect on PTM identifications will be discussed in the following section. These settings include, but are not limited to, scan time, minimum acquisition intensity, maximum simultaneous MSMS acquisition, stop MSMS acquisition, and the dynamic peak exclusion.




Figure 1. Reference settings for the MS channel.

MS scan time

Experimentally measured duty cycles in DDA include one MS acquisition of mass/charge ratio (m/z) 100–2000, and the top most-intense precursor ions subjected to MS/MS analysis. The chromatographic gradient or the average peak width and the scan time decide the duty cycles in the DDA analysis. The longer scan time cumulates more ion intensity and provides higher data quality, but suffers a lower duty cycle which means fewer ions are selected and undergo MS/MS events.

Minimum intensity threshold

This setting refers to the minimum ion intensity (Figure 2) required for a precursor ion in the full MS scan to be automatically selected for fragmentation in DDA mode. Increasing the signal threshold will result in lower number of acquired MS/MS spectra but the overall quality of each MS/MS spectrum can be improved. Decreasing the level of the signal threshold will result in a large number of MS/MS events, but the quality of the MS/MS spectra arising from low intensity ions may be insufficient for peptide identification. A threshold of 5000 to trigger MS/MS acquisition is found to be the optimal setting for low abundance PTMs experiment when the Vion QToF mass spectrometer is used. The threshold setting can be adjusted to match with the peptides with low ion intensities.




Figure 2. MS/MS settings for trigger and stop MS/MS fragmentation.

Maximum simultaneous MS/MS acquisitions (top N events)

The setting means the “N” most abundant ions are selected for MS/MS analysis, shown in Figure 2. Increasing the number of MS/MS events would allow for the selection of lower-intensity ions but at the expense of increased cycle time. The increase in cycle time is not productive for fast-gradient conditions that produce narrow peak widths. For example, the cycle time increases to over 3.4 s with the selection of the top ten most-abundant ions with a fixed MS scan of 0.4 s and MS/MS scan of 0.3 s, this would not allow for multiple cycles to occur over the average 10-s-wide chromatographic peaks.

Stop MS/MS acquisition

The MS/MS events are controlled by either the TIC counts or the timeout depending on which parameter is reached first. Timeout is the length of time the m/z is eligible for MS/MS acquisition before it is no longer subjected to further fragmentation. A value of 30 s for MS/MS acquisition, shown in Figure 2, was selected to correspond with observed average peak width at base for PTMs identification. The TIC counts are critical in identification of low intensity precursor ions. To allow the low intensity precursor ions to be subjected to MS/MS fragmentation, it is important to have lower TIC counts to preventing the high abundance ions staying too long in MS/MS mode. In this case, a TIC of $2e6$ was selected for PTMs analysis.

Dynamic peak exclusion

With dynamic peak exclusion enabled, an m/z ion is placed on an exclusion list and will be excluded from repeated MS/MS analysis for a specified time, called exclusion duration. When dynamic exclusion is disabled, repeated MS/MS scans of the more intense precursor ions will be generated. In identifying low abundance PTMs, the dynamic exclusion is normally enabled to minimize repeated sequencing of peptides and allow for lower abundance ions to undergo fragmentation. Reduction of dynamic exclusion time improved sequence coverage and increased the number of matched MS/MS spectra; however, this also led to an increased number of redundant MS/MS spectra collected. The preferred dynamic exclusion time for the current study was 150 s (Figure 3).




Figure 3. Settings of dynamic peak exclusion and exclusion list.

Inclusion and exclusion list

DDA acquisitions are typically performed in an untargeted mode and can be complemented with include and/or exclude lists as well. Inclusion list is enabled by selecting "Include" under DDA tab as shown in Figure 1. In the "Include" tab, certain m/z and RT or certain mass range could be added with tolerance time window as shown in Figure 4.




Figure 4. Settings of inclusion list.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

SEQUENCE COVERAGE OF NIST MABS USING DDA

In this study, a fast 30 min proteolytic digestion was performed after the reduction and alkylation of NIST mAbs to minimize sample preparation related artifacts. Triplicate analysis of the NIST mAb tryptic digests was undertaken via the peptide mapping workflow in UNIFI. Sequence coverage of 99% was observed, as shown in Figure 5, based on the following strict criteria set: 1) identified peptides must have at least 3 confirmatory fragment ions (b or y ions); 2) up to 2 missed cleavages are allowed but no semi-tryptic peptides considered; 3) mass accuracy is within 10 ppm for precursors; 4) no neutral loss of NH₃ or H₂O, and no in-source fragments are counted.




Figure 5. Sequence coverage map of NIST mAbs with fragmentation information obtained by data dependent acquisition (DDA). The confirmed sequences are highlighted in gray. The blue lines above and red lines below the AA sequence indicates that these sequences are confirmed by high energy fragment b and y ions, respectively.

LOW LEVEL PTM CHARACTERIZATIONS: EXAMPLE ON GLYCATION SITE IDENTIFICATION

With naturally low abundance in NIST mAbs, glycosylated peptides usually show very little fragmentation across the peptide bonds in an MS^E experiment. In this application note, we demonstrate an optimized DDA method to identify the glycosylated peptides using NIST mAb RM 8671 due to its high glycosylation level.

All unmodified Lys residues are susceptible to glycosylation in theory. However, the rate of individual modification varies depending on local sequence and higher order structure.² The presence of glycosylation prevents trypsin and lys-C cleavage at the modified residue.³ Thus, up to 2 missed cleavages were considered during peak searching in UNIFI. In the DDA method, a lower intensity threshold of 5000 was used to trigger an MS/MS event. The low triggering threshold allows more low abundance precursor ions to be subjected to MS/MS fragmentation. In addition, a TIC threshold of 2e6 and a timeout of 30 sec were enabled to prevent the same high intensity precursor ions from undergoing repeated fragmentation. With these DDA parameters, more fragment ions were observed for low abundance ions such as the glycosylated peptides compared to MS^E. The identified glycosylated peptides with modification levels from 0.05 to 4.77% cover 23 lysines in NIST mAbs. The identified glycosylated sites and the site occupancy are calculated by the MS response of glycosylated peptide divided by the sum of glycosylated peptide and unmodified peptide. An equation is shown below using a representative peptide. The results are listed and compared with the published result⁴ at Table 1.

% Relative glycation = MS response of (DTSkNQVVLK)/(DTSkNQVVLK + NQVVLK)

Chain	Residue & position	Lab 1*	Lab 2*	Waters
H	K13	N/A	N/A	0.08
H	K58	0.12	N/A	0.24
H	K66	N/A	0.9	N/A
H	K77	0.68	1.06	0.46
H	K136	0.11	1.28	0.42
H	K255	N/A	0.38	0.43
H	K249			0.32
H	K251	0.23	1.13	No
H	K291 or K293	1.87	0.55	0.05
H	K329	0.16	N/A	2.73
L	K38, K41			0.4
L	K44	0.24	0.51	0.09
L	K52	N/A	2.05	4.77
L	K102	N/A	1.74	0.59
L	K125	N/A	N/A	0.27
L	K148	0.11	0.61	0.59
L	K168	N/A	0.41	0.54
L	K182	N/A	2.87	0.91
L	K187	N/A	3.79	1.97
L	K189	0.15	0.13	0.12
L	K206	N/A	0.41	0.18

Table 1. Identified glycosylated lysine sites on NIST mAb and site occupancy. "*" indicates the data are from published book chapter [4].

The MSMS spectrum of one representative peptide (THTCPPCPAPELLGGPSVFLFPPK249PK251DTLIMISR) with two lysine residues of K249 and K251 is shown in Figure 6, the MS/MS confirmed the glycation is site-specific to residue 249 and the site occupancy is 0.32%.




Figure 6. Deconvoluted MS/MS spectrum for the glycosylated peptide THTCPPCPAPELLGGPSVFLFPPK249PK251DTLIMISR from NIST mAbs tryptic digests. K249 is identified as the glycation site. The fragment ions (b and y ions) are labeled accordingly on the peak tops. "&" means modification is attached to this fragment.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we describe an optimized DDA method for peptide PTM analysis using the UNIFI Scientific Information System with the Vion IMS QToF MS especially for low abundant peptides with interference from co-eluting peptides. The high sensitivity and high dynamic range specifications of the Vion IMS QToF enable high quality MS/MS data for low abundant peptides and accurate quantitation. MS^E combined DDA methods meet all the requirements in peptide mapping qualitatively and quantitatively.

References

1. Geromanos S. J., Vissers J. P. C. et al, The detection, correction, and comparison of peptide precursor and product ions from data independent LC-MS with data dependent LC-MS/MS. *Proteomics*, 2009, 9, 1683–1695.
2. Gadgil H. S., Bondarenko P. V., et al, The LC/MS analysis of glycation of IgG molecules in sucrose containing formulation. *J. Pharm. Sci.*, 2007, 96(10), 2607–2621.
3. Lapolla A., Fedele D., et al, Enzymatic digestion and mass spectrometry in the study of advanced glycation end products/peptides. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.*, 2004, 15(4), 496–509.
4. Schiel, J. E., Davis, D. L., Borisov, O. V., State-of-the-art and emerging technologies for therapeutic monoclonal antibody characterization Volume 2. Biopharmaceutical Characterization: *The NISTmAb case study*, Chapter 3, P 160, 2015 American Chemical Society.

Waters

THE SCIENCE OF WHAT'S POSSIBLE.®

Waters, The Science of What's Possible, ACQUITY, UPLC, Vion, and UNIFI are registered trademarks of Waters Corporation. All other trademarks are the property of their respective owners.

©2017 Waters Corporation. Produced in the U.S.A. November 2017 720006134EN AG-PDF

Waters Corporation
34 Maple Street
Milford, MA 01757 U.S.A.
T: 1 508 478 2000
F: 1 508 872 1990
www.waters.com