

QC failures



QC failures—and the resulting rework and repeat analysis can cause major problems with the productivity of your lab and the job satisfaction of your techs.

In the best-case scenario, a QC failure while using the EPA 200.7 method will result in having to rerun the calibration, an IPC, a blank, and then repeat the last 10 samples.

Assessing the cost of QC failures

Let's look at the numbers:

To recalibrate, then run an IPC + blank and the last **10 samples will take around 27 minutes**. Let's say it takes 25 minutes.



If you get **3 of these failures per day**, that's about 75 minutes of time wasted doing reanalysis per day.



If we say that it takes 1.5 minutes to analyze a sample, that's 50 additional measurements you could have done in the same time.

Based on the EPA 200.7 method, that's **40 extra samples you could have measured per day** (with the rest of the measurements being QC solutions assuming no additional failures)

That's **10,000 samples per year*** you could have run—all lost to the rework associated with QC failures.



*Assuming 250 working days per year

As you can see from this example, preventing QC failures is a worthwhile investment.

The 6 tips below show how easy it is to do.

<section-header>



(and no more).

5

(and no more).

Add a switching valve to reduce the time the sample spends in the sample introduction system, making blockages less likely



Use an argon humidifier to keep tip of the nebulizer moist; solids won't be deposited on the end of the nebulizer, thereby reducing blockages



This information is subject to change without notice. © Agilent Technologies, Inc. 2017 Published in the USA, December 6, 2017 5991-8689EN