

Fast Analysis of Phenolic Antioxidants and Erucamide Slip Additives in Polypropylene Homopolymer Formulations Using 1200 Rapid Resolution Liquid Chromatography (RRLC) with Rapid Resolution High Throughput (BRHT) Columns and Method

 Throughput (RRHT) Columns and Method Translator

Application

Hydrocarbon Processing

Authors

Wei Luan and Chunxiao Wang Agilent Technologies (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. 412 Ying Lun Road Waigaoqiao Free Trade Zone Shanghai 200131 P. R. China

Michael Woodman Agilent Technologies, Inc. 2850 Centerville Road Wilmington DE 19808 USA

Abstract

Vitamin E (tocopherol), phenolic antioxidants and erucamide slip additives in polypropylene homopolymer formulations were resolved and detected using liquid chromatography with ultraviolet/visible detection, under guidelines suggested by ASTM Method D6042. Using the Agilent 1200 Rapid Resolution LC system with Agilent ZORBAX RRHT columns, the antioxidants could be rapidly separated with the same or improved resolution. The Agilent method translator was used to transfer the ASTM method into new methods based on the instrument parameters, column dimensions, and particle size in three modes: simple conversion and speed optimized and resolution optimized methods.

Introduction

Polymers are very popular all over the world owing to their unprecedented physical properties. Various additives are blended into polymeric materials to modify certain properties of the polymer formulation. Erucamide, Irganox 3114, Irganox 1010, Vitamin E (tocopherol), Irganox 1076, and Irgafos168 are often used as antioxidants to prevent the degradation of polypropylene homopolymer formulations by light, heat, and oxygen. In this work, with the goal to shorten the analysis time and reduce solvent consumption without losing separation quality, the existing ASTM method was recalculated for new operating conditions based on columns packed with smaller particle sizes. The chemical information of the antioxidants and Tinuvin P as internal standard is displayed in detail in Table 1.

Specific additives and their concentrations in polymer formulations are critical to the properties of polymer, and careful analysis is required to ensure that the additives and levels are appropriate for the intended use. This application will compare two different stationary phases according to analyte retention characteristics and peak shape, show the influence of different injection volume of real sample on the peak shape, and then will focus on showing how to use the method translator. The latter is used to transfer the conventional method to new methods using smaller size columns to perform simple conversion and to extend the method to greater speed and higher resolution.



Name:	Vitamin E
Formula:	$C_{29}H_{50}O_{2}$
Molecular Weight:	430.71
CAS No.:	10191-41-0

Name: Formula: Molecular Weight: CAS No.: Irgafos 168 [[(CH₃)₃C]₂C₆H₃O]₃P 646.92 31570-04-4 DL-all-rac- α -Tocopherol







Name: Formula: Molecular Weight: CAS No.: Irganox 3114 C₄₈H₆₉N₃O₆ 784.08 27676-62-6 Tris(3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxybenzyl) isocyanurate



Name:	Erucamide
Formula:	$CH_3(CH_2)_7CH=CH(CH_2)_{11}CONH_2$
Molecular Weight:	337.58
CAS No.:	112-84-5

cis-13-docosenamide





Table 1. Chemical Information of Antioxidants and Tinuvin P (Continued)

Experimental

System

Agilent 1200 Series Rapid Resolution LC (RRLC), consisting of:

G1379B micro vacuum degasser

G1312B binary pump SL

G1367C high-performance autosampler SL $% \mathcal{C}$

G1316B thermostatted column compartment SL

G1315C UV/VIS diode array detector SL with 3 mm, 2 μL flow cell ChemStation 32-bit version B.02.01-SR1

Columns

Agilent ZORBAX Eclipse XDB-C18, 4.6 mm \times 150 mm, 5 μ m Agilent ZORBAX Eclipse XDB-C8, 4.6 mm \times 150 mm, 5 μ m Agilent ZORBAX Eclipse XDB-C8, 4.6 mm \times 100 mm, 3.5 μ m Agilent ZORBAX Eclipse XDB-C8, 4.6 mm \times 50 mm, 1.8 μ m Agilent ZORBAX Eclipse XDB-C8, 3.0 mm \times 100 mm, 3.5 μ m Agilent ZORBAX Eclipse XDB-C8, 3.0 mm \times 100 mm, 3.5 μ m

Mobile Phase

A: water
B: acetonitrile (ACN)
See individual chromatograms
See individual chromatograms

 CH_3

Samples

- 1. Standard mixture of Tinuvin P, Erucamide, Irganox 3114, Irganox 1010, Vitamin E, Irganox 1076, and Irgafos168, all 200 μ g/mL in isopropanol
- 2. Polypropylene Homopolymer Formulation, from customer, extracted by ultrasonic according to the method ASTM D6042-04
- 3. Polypropylene extract spiked with 20 $\mu g/mL$ standard mixture

Results and Discussion

Selection of Stationary Phase for the Separation of Antioxidants

It is desirable during method development to select a column that will provide the optimal analyte separation and shortest analysis time. Reversed phase C18 columns are recommended by ASTM D6042-04; however, in our application we determined that the retention characteristics of ZORBAX XDB-C18 columns were too strong for the specified solvents, resulting in broad peak shape and quantitation difficulties for late-eluting peaks. Compared with ZORBAX XDB-C18 columns, ZORBAX XDB-C8 columns showed better retention capability and peak shape. Therefore, we chose the ZORBAX XDB-C8 column for further method development. The different separations with ZORBAX XDB-C18 and ZORBAX XDB-C8 columns are shown in the Figure 1.



Agilent ZORBAX Eclipse XDB-C8, 4.6 mm × 150 mm, 5 µm



Mobile phase:	A: water; B: ACN	ZORBAX chemistry	r: Eclipse XD	B-C18	Eclipse XI	DB-C8
Flow rate:	1.5 mL/min	Gradient:	Min	%B	Min	%B
Wavelength:	200 nm		0.00	75	0.00	75
Injection volume:	10 μL		5.00	100	8.00	100
Column temperature:	50 °C		25.00	100	15.00	100
Column size:	4.6 mm × 150 mm, 5 μm			75	15.10	75
Sample:	Standard mixture, 200 μ g/mL in isopropanol			75	20.00	75

Figure 1. ZORBAX stationary phase comparison for antioxidants.

Injection Volume Influence of Real Sample Extraction Solution on the Peak Shape

According to ASTM D6042-04 [1], a solvent mixture of methylene chloride and cyclohexane (1/1 v/v) is used as the extraction solvent and, after filtration, the extracted solution is directly injected into the LC. Neither methylene chloride nor cyclohexane is miscible in the acetonitrile and water mobile phase. Peak splitting was observed when the injection volume was 10 µL. We decreased the sample size of real sample and found that the volume of 5 µL was suitable and free of solvent influence. The split and nonsplit peaks are shown in Figure 2. At the same time, the influence of injection volume was not found in the standard solution, which was dissolved in isopropanol per ASTM method guidance.

Fast Method Developed Based on New 1200 RRLC with Method Translator

Due to the appearance of sub-two-micron columns and LC systems with higher pressure capabilities, the research of ultra-fast separation is more and more popular. Therefore, it is important to quickly and easily transfer conventional methods to fast or high-resolution methods. Agilent provides the users of RRLC systems with two versions of method translators; one is a Microsoft.net version, which requires that Net-Framework 2.0 be resident on the computer, the other is a Microsoft Excel version, which requires that Excel be resident on the PC. The interface of the two translators is displayed in Figure 3.

%В

75

100

100

75

75



Figure 2. Injection volume influence of real sample extraction solution on the peak shape.

Basic Mode Advanced Mode Vincently T.	able Help				III XI Barit N	a Nethod Translator 1.0 Inde Advanced Mode Vie	coulty Table Help	_		
Original Mathed	11	lew Method			One	post Method	1000		New Method	
System Info	ARM.	System bila		8.5	See	nn Info System Pressare (bar) 🗇 . 400	Calculated	Values Pressure (Sur.) (42.52	Nas System Pressee (bal) (* 400 (* 600	Calculater(Valuer Pressure (bar) [156.70
G Aginet 1120/1200 Series Broay Purg			RLC			Aboved Pressure (%) 50	Sreat	woody (were's) (2.15	Allowed Pressan (3) 90 2	Linux Velocity (mo/v) [250 Economic 1/ lanat k3 [752]
C volume comparison press press investigation	C 3			-		Loren Departmental 300	TA Date	NULARE INL. [1.745	Spress Depress (d.) 53	Column Volume (ml.) (0.104
Column belo	The second se	Culture Info		1000	50	nen Delay Volume (pl.) [1970] (H Detwo	Plate Numbers (13.043	System Delay Volume (pl.) 190.0	Plate Numbers 12,525
Column D (ma) 4.6 🔿	101	Culumn 10 (mm) 21	4			Column ID (sen) 4.6	- Pare N	andom Vield [2] [04	Column to In Column 10 [mm] 21	Plate Number Vehic [1] 78
Parton Son juni 50 11		Partnie Size (and 1.0		in man		Column Langth-Junij 150 Patrick Size Juni 150		# Vitere (41) (0.62	Function Longits (mail) 50 22	Peak Volume (u) (0.04
Hard A.	Agilent 1100 Series LC		Agilent 1	200 Series RRLC		Ine Resistance Factor 900	-	Net West-11 [28.76	Few Resstance Factor 300 1	Peak W148-[1] (8.50
Plan Rate (nL/mai) 150	Salvers Water / Acatoritie	Plan Ram Jod. Insel (0.31.3	Time	laving Factor		Panaly 0.70 Red Plan Heads 2.10	-	Peak Castacity (\$3.7	Panaly 0.00 1	Peet Capacity 541
Insection Vol. Sub. 5 00	Tempendue [7] 40.00 3	Inscision Vol. (pl.) (0.30		3.9	-	ad bits			Method lide	
Pressoe (brei) (string)	man. Subwet Vice. (rP) [17]	Passar (5-6) [196.70		I		Film Rate (nL/hard 11.50	A RA		The Rate Jol. And D.M.	Time-Saving Factor
Ten had 30 Fee balls	Connert	Ten bel 38	fine int test	and a second state		Injection Volume (pl.) 5.00	3		Injection Volume (sl.) (0.30	3.0
Initial 0.000 10.0 1500		Initial 0.000 10.0	6.313 · Sim			Tene (min) 128 /	Ree (name)	2	Taxe (mar) 128 Flow (mb/m initial 0.000 10.0 0.313	Simple Conversion
Heid to 40.000 90.0 1.500	1 11	Hiskii to 13.333 90.0	6313 • Spi		Gran Hade	Servi 30.000 90.0 1 No 40.000 90.0 1	1 500		Gradeer 10.000 90.0 0.213	Speed Optimized
Feature for 41.000 10.0 1.500 Singe \$2.000 10.0 1.500		Hetuer to: 13.667 10.0 Stop 16.667 10.0	0.313 Res		d Dia	mite 41.000 10.0 1	1 500	-	Relanto 13.667 10.0 0.313	Resolution Optimized
									Test line in our	Deniet für System Delige Volume
Microcoft EvenI - M	athodConumber 05200	NCC of these	Delut		_					
	CONTRACT OFFICE	and the little little little little	NAME OF T			-				
·데 File Fox Alem	Insert Pormat Loois	Daca Muoo	w Help A	DODE NON					rype a quesouri	
	HA TH X	4 B • 3	1) + (1 +	. Σ .	今1 41 日間	ചാരെ 🗉	Arial		12 - B ≡ Ξ	- 3 · <u>A</u> ·
12222	ethod Converter		_	_	_			-	<u>×</u>	
AJ76 -	Gradier	nt I	1	socrat	ic	1				
AE	ordaior	·· _]		Julia		1			a w 1 1	STT
1	System Definition Column	Definition Pre-	dicted Results	Gradient R	esults New I	ligh Speed Cond	ditions New	High Hesolusio	n conditions	- 10- 10- 10- 10-
2	New Gradien	t Calculate	d to Mavi	nize Res	olution		E 1	Column Size		
3	(degreese dese	c carculater	a to maxi	11120 1100	oradion				Orig New	
4	(decrease stope	with maxim	um now, sa	ime ume)				olumni.d.:	4.500 3.000	
5							0	olumn Width:	250.0 150.0	
6	Possible Increa	ise in Pressure	e (†	3	1	4	F	article Size:	5.0 3.5	
7	Possible Increa	se in Flow:		2.10	e (8	2.00				
8			-	5.10	3 52					
9		Time	56	Flow	Gradient	Time	58	Flow	Gradient	
10	hintin (Slope %				Slope %	
11	IN INCLUE	0.00	10.0	3.10		0.00	10.0	1.02		
12	Gradient:	30.00	100.0	3.10	2	18.00	100.0	1.62	2	
13	Hold to:	40.00	100.0	3.10		24.00	100.0	1.02		
14	Return by:	41.00	10.0	3.10		24.00	10.0	1.62		
15	End of Pun:	50.00	10.0	3.10	1 8	30.00	10.0	1.62		
16					8			<u></u>		
17	Estimated k* (g	radient k' facto	r): [8.3	1	10.2				
18			1.		5 E					
19										
20										
21								-		
22	neip							Pre	NOUS	
24	Drint Condinat Passed	1					22		5.4	
25	Francisk wegent				Agile	int lechnolog	les			
26										
27										
										100 M
28	onverter /									L 11

The upper one is the Microsoft.net version, the lower one is the Microsoft Excel version.

Figure 3. Two different method translators.

Sample Preparation

The two versions of method translators provide three modes of method conversion; the first is the simple conversion, which has the same gradient slope as the conventional method, and changes the flow rate according to equation 1:

$$Flow_{Col. 2} = \left[\frac{Diam_{Col. 2}}{Diam_{Col. 1}}\right]^{2} \times Flow_{Col. 1} \quad (eq. 1)$$

The second is the speed optimized conversion, which has the same gradient slope as the conventional method and maximizes the flow rate according the LC system pressure capability. The last is the resolution optimized conversion, which maximizes the flow rate according the LC system pressure capability and has the same gradient time as the simple converted mode, resulting in a reduced gradient slope that normally yields higher peak resolution. For the different columns, the injection volumes should be changed according to the relationship displayed in equation 2.

$$Inj. vol._{Col. 2} = \left[\frac{Volume_{Col. 2}}{Volume_{Col. 1}}\right] \times Inj. vol._{Col. 1} (eq. 2)$$

As mentioned above, the method based on the ZORBAX Eclipse XDB-C8 4.6 mm x 150 mm, 5 μ m, was selected as the initial method. Afterwards, the initial method was transferred with the method

translator into three modes on different column lengths (100, 50 mm) and particle sizes (3.5, 1.8 μ m), respectively. Figures 4 and 5 show

the separation of antioxidants in smaller particle size columns with the recalculated methods.



Figure 4. Separation of antioxidants on ZORBAX Eclipse XDB-C8 3.0 mm \times 100 mm, 3.5 μ m.



Conditions

Sample:	Standard mixture, 200 µg/mL in isopropanol					
Mobile phase:	A: water; B: ACN					
Temperature:	50 °C					
Wavelength:	200 nm					
Injection volume:	3.3 µL					
Column:	ZORBAX Eclipse XDB-C8 3.0 mm $ imes$ 50 mm, 1.8 μ m					
Mode:	Simple converted	Speed optimized	Resolution optimized			
Flow rate:	0.64 mL/min	2.50 mL/min	2.50 mL/min			
Pressure:	160 bar	460 bar	460 bar			
Gradient slope:	3.1%	3.1%	0.8%			
Analysis time:	6 min	1.4 min	3 min			

Figure 5. Separation of antioxidants on ZORBAX Eclipse XDB-C8 3.0 mm \times 50 mm, 1.8 $\mu m.$

To identify the matrix influence on the separation, the polypropylene extract was spiked with $20 \ \mu\text{g/mL}$ standard mixture and injected into the LC system. Figure 6 depicts the separation of

spiked sample with the speed optimized method, which shows a sufficient separation of antioxidant in polymer matrix with about 10 times faster speed than the conventional method mentioned above.



Polypropylene extract :	spiked with 20 µg/mL standard mixture
A: water; B: ACN	
50 °C	
200 nm	
ZORBAX Eclipse XDB-	C8
$3.0~\text{mm}{\times}100~\text{mm},3.5$	μm 3.0 mm × 50 mm, 1.8 μm
3 µL	1 μL
Speed optimized	Speed optimized
4.00 mL/min	2.50 mL/min
460 bar	460 bar
3.1%	3.1%
1.6 min	1.4 min
	Polypropylene extract s A: water; B: ACN 50 °C 200 nm ZORBAX Eclipse XDB-0 3.0 mm × 100 mm, 3.5 j 3 μL Speed optimized 4.00 mL/min 460 bar 3.1% 1.6 min

Figure 6. Separation of spiked polypropylene extract by the speed optimized method.

Conclusions

As an important innovation in the advancement of liquid chromatography, the Agilent 1200 Rapid Resolution LC system provides the customer not only a rapid separation with the same or similar resolution, but also includes a method translator to convert any initial conventional method to a fast or high-resolution method according to the requirements of the user. This note applies the method translation tool in the separation of polymer additives and demonstrates the ease-of-use and power of the method translator using separations of a standard mixture and spiked real sample.

References

- 1. ASTM D6042-04, "Standard Test Method for Determination of Phenolic Antioxidants and Erucamide Slip Additives in Polypropylene Homopolymer Formulations Using Liquid Chromatography (LC)"
- 2. Michael Woodman, "Improving the Effectiveness of Method Translation for Fast and High Resolution Separations"
- 3. Michael Woodman, "Screening and Qualitative Identification of Antioxidant Polymer Additives by HPLC with UV/VIS and APCI-MS Detection"

For More Information

For more information on our products and services, visit our Web site at www.agilent.com/chem.

The information contained in this publication is intended for research use only and is not to be followed as a diagnostic procedure.

Agilent shall not be liable for errors contained herein or for incidental or consequential damages in connection with the furnishing, performance, or use of this material.

Information, descriptions, and specifications in this publication are subject to change without notice.

© Agilent Technologies, Inc. 2007

Printed in the USA January 5, 2007 5989-5849EN

