CONSIDERATIONS FOR METHOD MIGRATION BETWEEN HPLC PLATFORMS IN THE ANALYTICAL LABORATORY

Lise Gauthier, Jo-Ann Jablonski, Kimberly Martin, Jason Dyke and Paula Hong

INTRODUCTION

In today's global economy, companies constantly strive to bring their commodities to market before other competitors. Analytical chromatography laboratories, therefore, must implement efficient procedures to accurately evaluate their products. Whether analyses are performed using the same instrumentation or diverse chromatographical platforms in different laboratories, well-characterized instrument attributes aid in the efficient method migration between systems.

Successful migration of methods between HPLC systems is a multiple step process involving an understanding of how differences in instrumentation affect the separation and development of a control strategy to mitigate these differences. System dwell volume and extra column dispersion both impact the chromatographic separation and should be characterized when migrating methods between systems.

This study presents the steps taken in the migration of methods from two legacy HPLC systems (system 1 = Waters Alliance[™] System; system 2 = system Y from a different vendor) to a modern HPLC system (Waters Alliance[™] iS HPLC System).

Dwell Volume

Dwell volume is the volume between the point of mixing and the column inlet. It is impacted by tubing length and internal diameter, mixers, and valves in the fluidic path before the head of the column. Differences in dwell volume result in variation of retention times between systems. Selectivity may also be affected.

The impact of the different dwell volumes of each system on retention time was assessed utilizing a gradient method for the separation of a generic test mix. Differences in dwell volume were then compensated for by adjusting the gradient start relative to the injection.

Extra Column Dispersion

Extra column dispersion is the extra column volume in an HPLC system. The primary sources of extra column dispersion are tubing, heat exchangers, connectors and fittings and the detector flow cell. Because modern HPLC systems are highly configurable, significant differences in extra column dispersion may exist between systems. Extra column dispersion affects peak width, resolution and the overall efficiency of a separation. Gradient separations which focus peaks at the head of the column are impacted to a lesser extent by extra column dispersion then isocratic separations.

To illustrate the effects of extra column dispersion, an isocratic separation, the USP fluconazole organic impurities method, was run on each of the systems.

METHODS

Dwell Volume Characterization					
Mobile Phase A	Water	Water			
Mobile Phase B	10 mg/r	10 mg/mL Caffeine in Water			
Flow Rate	1.00 mL	1.00 mL/min			
Run Time	40 minu	40 minutes			
Gradient Table	Time	Time %A %B Curve			
	0.00	100.0	0.0	Initial	
	5.00	100.0	0.0	6	
	25.00	0.0	100.0	6	
	30.00 100.0		0.0	11	
	40.00	100.0	0.0	11	
njection Volume	0.0 µL	0.0 µL			
Column Temperature	30.0°C	30.0°C			
Column	Zero De	Zero Dead Volume Union			
Detector	UV: λ = 273 nm; 20 Hz				

Generic Test Mix					
Mobile Phase A	0.1% Formic Acid in Water				
Mobile Phase B	0.1% Formic Acid in Acetonitrile				
Flow Rate	1.00 mL/min				
Run Time	14 minutes				
Gradient Table	Time %A %B Curve				
	0.00	80.0	20.0	Initial	
	3.50	80.0	20.0	6	
	8.75	10.0	90.0	6	
	10.50	10.0	90.0	6	
	10.54	80.0	20.0	6	
	14.00	80.0	20.0	6	
Injection Volume	10.0 μL				
Column Temperature	45.0°C				
Sample Temperature	10.0℃				
Column	Waters XBridge [™] C18 Column				
	4.6 x 50 mm, 3.5 μm				
Detector	UV: λ = 254 nm; 20 Hz				

Extra Column Dispersion

Extra Column Dispersion Characterization				
Mobile Phase A	Water			
Mobile Phase B	Acetonitrile			
Flow Rate	1.00 mL/min			
Run Time	1.00 minutes			
Gradient Table	Time %A %B Curve			
	0.00 70.0 30.0 Initial			
	1.00 70.0 30.0 6			
Injection Volume	1.0 µL			
Column Temperature	Ambient			
Sample Temperature	Ambient			
Column	Zero Dead Volume Union			
Detector	UV: λ = 273 nm; 40 Hz			

USP Fluconazole Organic Impurities				
Mobile Phase	80:20 Water:Acetonitrile			
Flow Rate	0.50 mL/min			
Run Time	20.00 minutes			
Gradient Table	Time %A Curve			
	0.00 100.0 Initial			
	20.00 100.0 6			
Injection Volume	20.0 μL			
Column Temperature	40.0°C			
Sample Temperature	15.0°C			
Column	Waters XSelect [™] HSS T3 Column:			
	4.6 x 150 mm, 3.5µm			
Detector	UV: λ = 260 nm; 10 Hz			

TO DOWNLOAD A COPY OF THIS POSTER, VISIT WWW.WATERS.COM/POSTERS

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Instrument Characterization

To understand the impact of instrument characteristics on our studies, each system's dwell volume and extra column dispersion were measured.

Dwell volume measurements



Figure 1. Dwell volume measurements were performed on each system. Calculations were performed as follows:

1. Calculate Absorbance at 50%: A1/2 = (A100%B-A0%B)/2

2. Determine retention time for A1/2, which equals t1/2.

3. Calculate gradient delay in time (tD) using tD = t1/2 - 1/2(tG) where tG = total gradient time.

4. Convert tD to dwell volume . VD= tD * flow rate.

System	Dwell Volume (V_D) (mL)		
Alliance System*	1.15		
System Y HPLC	1.44		
Alliance iS HPLC System	1,59		

*with passive pre-heater

Extra-column dispersion measurements



Figure 2. Extra-column dispersion measurements were made using the Empower^{IM} 3 Chromatography Data System. Results were obtained by multiplying the peak width at 13.4% height (4 σ) and 4.4% peak height (5σ) by the flow rate.

System	Extra Column Dispersion @ 4σ	Extra Column Dispersion @ 5σ
Alliance System*	50.2 μL	68.5
System Y	40.0 µL	55.2
Alliance iS HPLC System	26.6 µL	36.4

*with passive pre-heater

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Impact of Dwell Volume:

The impact of dwell volume on gradient separations was demonstrated by running the generic test mix on each system. As expected, due to variation in dwell volume between the systems differences in retention times are seen. Relative retention times however are the same across the systems



Figure 3. Chromatogram of the generic test mix on each system.

	RRT: Alliance System	RRT: System Y	RRT: Alliance iS HPLC System
Phenol	0.21	0.22	0.20
Methylparaben	0.26	0.29	0.26
Ethylparaben	0.55	0.62	0.55
Propylparaben	0.75	0.77	0.77
Butylparaben	0.84	0.84	0.85
Heptylparaben	1.00	1.00	1.00

Variation in retention times that result from dwell volume differences between systems may be mitigated by adjusting the gradient start relative to the injection. Such adjustments for dwell volume differences are allowed per USP <621>.

The generic test mix was run on the Alliance iS HPLC System using a method compensated for the differences in dwell volume. The resulting chromatogram show retention time shifts aligning the chromatograms to that obtained on the legacy system.



Figure 4. Chromatograms of the generic test mix.

Figures 4A & 4B. The retention times obtained on the Alliance iS HPLC System and the legacy system do not align.

Figures 4B & 4C. The method was compensated for the difference in dwell volume between the legacy system and the Alliance iS HPLC System. The compensated method produced retention times that align with that obtained on the legacy Alliance System.









Waters™

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Impact of Extra Column Dispersion:

The impact of extra column dispersion on an isocratic separation was seen by running the USP Fluconazole Organic Impurities method on each system. The results show that the system with the greatest amount of dispersion had the lowest peak efficiencies (USP Plate Count). The results also demonstrate that extra column dispersion affects Resolution especially for early eluting peaks.

Figure 5. Representative Chromatogram of the Fluconazole Organic Impurities Standard Solution.

Figure 6. USP Plate Count. The Alliance iS HPLC System which has the lowest extra-column dispersion has the highest plate count.



Figure 7. USP Resolution. Although each system met the system suitability Resolution requirement, the lowest dispersion system showed an increase in Resolution for early eluting peaks.

CONCLUSION

Well-characterized instrument attributes aid in the efficient method migration between systems. Methods were successfully migrated from two legacy HPLC systems (system 1 = Waters Alliance System; system 2 = System Y from a different vendor) to a modern HPLC system, the Waters Alliance iS HPLC System.

- Dwell volume and extra column dispersion were characterized on each system.
- The impact of dwell volume and extra column dispersion on chromatographic separations were determined.
- With a gradient method, differences in dwell volume between systems resulted in retention time variation. These differences were compensated for by adjusting the gradient start.
- An isocratic method was utilized to demonstrate how differences in extra column dispersion between systems affect peak efficiency and resolution. The Alliance iS HPLC System which has the lowest extra column dispersion of the systems showed the highest plate count and improved resolution of early eluting peaks.



The study demonstrated a proactive and successful strategy for migration of methods from legacy systems, and the performance benefits of keeping instrumentation assets up to date.

Figure 8. Alliance iS HPLC System

References

- 1. P. Hong et al., Dwell Volume and Extra Column Volume: What Are They and How Do They Impact Method Transfer? (Waters Corp., 2018).
- 2. United States Pharmacopeia (2023). USP Monographs, Fluconazole. USP-NF. Rockville, MD:USP. DOI: https://doi.org/10.31003/USPNF_M33240_03_01

