# SUB 1µg/kg DETECTION OF GLYPHOSATE AND OTHER ANIONIC POLAR **PESTICIDES USING A GENERIC EXTRACTION AND DETECTION BY LC-MS/MS** <u>Stuart Adams<sup>1</sup></u>, Gitte Barknowitz<sup>1</sup>, Kari Organtini<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Waters Corporation, Wilmslow, United Kingdom <sup>2</sup> Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA

### INTRODUCTION

Routine analysis of anionic polar pesticides has become a requirement for many laboratories. In the past 20 years these would often be analysed by time consuming single residue methods. With the introduction of the Quick Polar Pesticides (QuPPe) method this has allowed a generic extraction method to be employed and introduced the possibility of analysing several anionic polar pesticides by one method.<sup>1</sup> Water have published several applications in the area of anionic polar pesticide analysis focusing on how the Anionic Polar Pesticide Column solves several of the critical challenges with this approach as well as expected extraction method performance.<sup>2,3,4,5</sup> With the introduction of the Xevo<sup>™</sup> TQ Absolute MS system, low and even sub µg/kg limits of detection can be combined with such generic extraction methods as the QuPPe method to bring a multi-residue approach to this analysis.

## METHODS

#### Sample preparation

Blank matrix extracts were generated following the QuPPe version 12 protocol.<sup>1</sup> Cucumber matrix standards were prepared over the 0.5 to 200 µg/kg range (0.25 to 100 ng/mL in vial concentration) and wheat flour matrix standards were prepared over the 2 to 200 µg/kg range (0.25 to 25 ng/mL in vial concentration).

#### Instrument methods


LC System :	ACQUITY UPLC <sup>™</sup> I-Class PLUS system (FL-SM)
Column:	Waters Anionic Polar Pesticide Column (5µm, 2
	100mm) ( <u>186009287</u> )
Mobile phase:	A: 0.9% formic acid in water,
-	B: 0.9% formic acid in acetonitrile
Injection volume:	10 µL
Column temp:	50 °C
LC Separation:	Available in our APP method start-up guide (link)
MS System:	Xevo TQ Absolute
Ionisation:	Electrospray
Acquisition:	MRM in negative ionisation mode
MS Parameters:	Transitions listed in Table 1

	Glyph	nosate		etyl- osate	AM	РА		etyl- IPA	Gl	ufosina	ate		etyl- sinate		MPPA		E	thepho
Precursor (m/z)	1	68	2	10	11	0	1:	52		180		22	22		151		14	13
Fragment (m/z)	63	150	150	192	63	79	63	110	85	63	95	136	69	63	107	133	107	79
Cone voltage (V)	15	15	25	25	15	15	30	20	15	15	15	20	20	20	20	15	15	15
Collision energy (eV)	15	10	13	9	15	15	15	17	17	25	15	20	14	25	16	12	8	13


Table 1. Transition information for anionic polar pesticides

## TO DOWNLOAD A COPY OF THIS POSTER, VISIT WWW.WATERS.COM/POSTERS


em (FL-SM) nn (5µm, 2.1 x







Limit of quantification (LOQ) for the Xevo TQ-Absolute System was tested for the anionic polar pesticides by analyzing matrix standards over the range of 0.5–200 µg/kg (0.25–100 ng/mL in vial concentration) for cucumber matrix and 2–200 µg/kg (0.25–25 ng/mL in vial concentration) for wheat flour matrix. The limit of quantification was defined as the lowest calibration standard in these sequences. Table 2 lists the achieved LOQs the anionic polar pesticides. The for difference in LOQs observed between the two different sample types is attributable to the different dilution factors within the QuPPe extraction procedure for "wet" v12 commodities such as cucumber versus "dry" commodities such as wheat flour. Example chromatograms for the anionic polar pesticides in cucumber matrix at 1 µg/kg are displayed in Figure 1.






Figure 2. Calibration and residual plots for an ionic polar pesticides in wheat flour 2–200 µg/kg (0.25 to 25 ng/mL in vial concentration) for Glufosinate, N-Acetyl-Glufosinate, MPPA, Fosetyl Al, Ethephon and HEPA.

For all compounds except ethephon, internal standards were used in the calibration assessment. In all cases the residuals for calibration were <20% and correlation of determination (R<sup>2</sup>) values were all 0.99 or greater. Example calibrations from matrix standards are demonstrated in Figure 2.

	LOQ (µg/kg)												
	Glyphosate	N-Acetyl- Glyphosate	AMPA	N-Acetyl- AMPA	Glufosinate	N-Acetyl- Glufosinate	MPPA	Ethephon	HEPA	Fosetyl Al			
Cucumber (wet commodity	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.45			
Wheat Flour (dry commodity)	2	2	5	2	2	2	2	2	2	2			

Table 2. Method limit of quantification for ten anionic polar pesticides.

The accuracy of the Xevo TQ Absolute System was assessed by quantifying residues of anionic polar pesticides matrix standards at concentrations of 1 and 10 µg/kg in cucumber (a representative vegetable matrix) and at 10 and 50 µg/kg in wheat flour (a representative cereal matrix) with the results displayed in Table 3.

# DISCUSSION

Compound	Matrix Standard Conc.	Cucumber Trueness	RSD (%)	Matrix Standard Conc.	Wheat Flou Trueness	
Glyphosate	(µg/kg) 1 10	(%) 100 109	8.1 3.6	(µg/kg) 10 50	(%) 102 104	RSD (%) 5.3 6.0
N-Acetyl-	1	94	2.1	10	95	1.1
Glyphosate	10	109	0.3	50	98	0.5
AMPA	1	89	8.3	10	99	9.2
	10	108	3.5	50	100	6.5
N-Acetyl-	1	90	2.6	10	99	1.9
AMPA	10	109	1.6	50	99	1.6
Glufosinate	1	92	2.6	10	99	3.7
	10	108	1.3	50	97	4.3
N-Acetyl-	1	91	1.9	10	101	1.8
Glufosinate	10	108	0.8	50	99	2.4
MPPA	1	91	4.8	10	101	1.7
	10	109	0.6	50	99	0.6
Ethephon	1	117	2.9	10	98	3.4
	10	115	2.7	50	101	2.5
HEPA	1	97	8.7	10	98	4.1
	10	113	1.8	50	96	2.7
Fosetyl Al	1	96	3.4	10	100	1.9
	10	105	1.1	50	96	1.0

Table 3. Summary of measured concentrations from a matrix standard and the repeatability of the measurement (n=10 at each concentration level).

# CONCLUSION

- TQ Absolute system.
- performance limits.

#### References

- Foodstuffs Using LC-MS/MS, Waters Application Note, 720007505, 2022.
- Pesticide Column. Waters Application Note, 720006925, 2020.
- Application Note, 720006645, 2019.

Waters THE SCIENCE OF WHAT'S POSSIBLE.™

> Extraction method performance for the QuPPe extraction is well documented and Waters has an established method for the anionic polar pesticide analysis.<sup>5</sup> By using a high sensitivity MS such as the Xevo TQ Absolute System lower limits can be achieved for this challenging analysis as demonstrated by this work. This extra sensitivity of the method can also be used to lower the injection volume, whilst maintaining current performance limits. With this approach there would be an expected increase in method system robustness as there would be less matrix introduced into the LC-MS/MS system.

 Using the QuPPE extraction with no clean-up limits of quantification as low as 0.5 ug/kg in cucumber and 2 ug/kg in wheat flour (expect AMPA where 5 ug/kg was the limit) can be achieved using the Xevo

• The additional sensitivity of the Xevo TQ Absolute system can be used to achieve lower limits of quantification or to reduce the amount of sample injected into the system and maintain current method

<sup>1.</sup> M. Anastassiades; A.-K. Wachtler; D. I. Kolberg; E. Eichhorn; H. Marks; A. Benkenstein; S. Zechmann; D. Mack; C. Wi Idgrube; A. Barth; I. Sigalov; S. Görlich; D. Dörk and G. Cerchia. Quick Method for the Analysis of Highly Polar Pesticides in Food Involving Extraction with Acidified Methanol and LC - or IC MS/MS Measurement - I. Food of Plant Origin (QuPPe-PO-Method) –Version 12 (published on EURL-

SRM website on July 23, 2021); URL: https://www.eurl-pesticides.eu/docs/public/tmplt\_article.asp?CntID=887&LabID=200&Lang=EN. 2. Hird S, Adams S, De-Alwis J. Evaluation of the Performance of a Method for the Determination of Highly Polar, Anionic Pesticides in

<sup>3.</sup> De-Alwis J, Williams J, Hird S, Adams S. Evaluation of the Performance of an LC-MS/MS Method for the Determination of Anionic Polar Pesticides Residues in Crops and Foodstuffs Using an Interlaboratory Study, Waters Application Note, 720007154, 2021.

<sup>4.</sup> Kumar K P, Bhaska K, Gorella T, Wagh P. Determination of Anionic Polar Pesticides in Grapes using UPLC-MS with Anionic Polar

<sup>5.</sup> Ross E, De-Alwis J, Adams S, Williams J, Shah D D. Determination of Anionic Polar Pesticides in High Water Foodstuffs. Waters